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INTRODUCTION

Purpose of Report

This report is a compilation and interpretation of the geochemical work performed on Copper Pass Mines Ltd. property in the Stikine River area of British Columbia. The field work was done during the dates of August 22, 1966 and September 7, 1966. The interpretation was done in the office in Edmonton between December 10, 1966 and December 23, 1966.

The field work and subsequent interpretation of the geochemical results was carried out by N. W. Reynolds, B.Sc., geologist under the supervision of M. A. Roed, P.Geol., whose professional qualifications follow this report. J. Kudryk assisted in the field work.

Previous Work

Previous work done on the property during the 1966 field season included reconnaissance geological mapping, trenching and sampling, prospecting and an Induced Polarization Survey. A pilot geochemical survey was run to determine the method of approach that would be used on the overall geochemical survey. The effectiveness of this pilot survey
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showed that an overall geochemical soil survey would help to delineate the most interesting areas within the Induced Polarization anomalies. For a detailed account of the previous work one is referred to the following reports:

   Copper Pass Mines Ltd., Induced Polarization Survey, Dalvenie, Mac, and New Deal Claims, Stikine Plateau area, B.C. September 1966.

2. M. A. Roed Geological Explorations Ltd.
   Copper Pass Mines Ltd., Geological Report No. 1, Dalvenie, Mac and New Deal Claims, Stikine Plateau area, B.C.

3. M. A. Roed Geological Explorations Ltd.

Location of Survey
The claims are situated on the eastern slope of Thenalodi Mountain and immediately west of Upper Gnat Lake, British Columbia at approximately 58° 10' north latitude and 129° 50' west longitude (see fig. 1). Specifically, the samples were taken on the Induced Polarization Grid (see fig. 2 for location).
Method of Investigation

Samples were collected every 50 feet over the Induced Polarization Anomalies and every 150 feet elsewhere on crosslines 100 feet apart (see fig. 2). Also, eleven samples were taken over the down hill line Induced Polarization Anomaly. The only samples analyzed to date are those taken over the down hill line induced polarization anomaly and the north induced polarization anomaly on lines 36 + 00 N, 40 + 00 N, 44 + 00 N, 48 + 00 N, 52 + 00 N, and 56 + 00 N.

Samples were collected by means of digging a small hole with a shovel and then taking the sample from the wall of the hole and storing it in a plastic bag. By using this method the sample was relatively un-contaminated by particles from other soil horizons.

In all cases it was endeavored to sample the "C" horizon but in a few cases it was not clear which horizon was sampled due to the poorly developed soil profiles.

PHYSIOGRAPHY

Topography

The area covered by lines 36 + 00 N to 56 + 00 N are on the side of a mountain which has a slope of approximately 30°
to the east. There are only a couple of intermittent east flowing streams in this area. There are numerous outcrops in the area covered by the North Induced Polarization Anomaly.

Valley glaciers have scoured out the area giving characteristic N-S trending valleys and depressions.

Soil Profile

The soil profile in the area of the survey is typical of a mountainous region, in that the soil horizons are poorly developed. There is essentially no "B" soil horizon and there is no sharp division between the "A" and "C" horizons.

The "A" horizon is the upper most and contains rich partially decomposed organic debris. The plant roots extend right down into the "C" horizon which is characterized by numerous rock fragments and in some places by small glacial pebbles. In all sample localities it was endeavored to sample this "C" horizon.

GEOCHEMICAL INTERPRETATION

Chemical Analysis

The chemical analysis was done by Barringer Research Limited of Rexdale, Ontario. All samples were run for total
copper and total mercury.

Interpretation of Results

Background values were determined from geochemical analysis of rock samples. The difference in concentration of mercury and copper in the sediment and the porphyritic andesite was very little, thus only one background value was needed for the whole area. All but one geochemical rock sample was consistent. This sample was taken from an outcrop which was highly fractured with the fracture surfaces containing small specks of pyrite. Thus this sample was eliminated in determining the average background values.

As was mentioned earlier, organic material extended down into the "C" horizon in numerous localities thus making it impossible to get a soil sample completely free of organic material. As a result of this geochemical mercury analysis from these localities had to be discarded due to the fact that organic material will concentrate the mercury thus giving extra high values.

Discussion of Results

The geochemical results are generally low and show very little contrast, however, four anomalous areas have been
delineated. Two copper anomalies and two mercury anomalies have been noted. The down hill line geochemical results will be treated separately. All the profiles are included in Appendix A.

Copper Anomalies

Background for copper as determined by geochemical analysis of rock samples was set at 70 ppm (parts per million) with a threshold of 110 ppm copper.

Lines 36 + 00 N and 40 + 00 N contain anomalous copper values between the baseline and approximately 3 + 00 W. These values are probably reflecting the narrow highly-mineralized shear zone paralleling the baseline at approximately 2 + 50 W. The anomaly is not present on line 44 + 00 N and thus coincides with the northern most surface trace of the mineralized shear zone.

The minor anomalous value at 3 + 00 W on line 52 + 00 N is not significant due to the fact it only showed up in the one sample. This could be caused by an analytical or sampling error, or by a more highly fractured zone in the bedrock, which would give a higher copper value.
Mercury Anomalies

Due to organic material present in numerous samples some of the results are widely spaced and it is difficult to make a detailed interpretation. From results of geochemical rock samples run for total mercury, the background value was set at 13 ppb (parts per billion) with a threshold of 26 ppb. Warren, 1966 gives the following values:

"As a general guide, one may expect that in British Columbia, 1) a soil unrelated in any way to mineralization will vary from 0.01 ppm of mercury: 2) a soil in an area of base metal, gold or molybdenum mineralization, but well removed from it, will run from 0.05 to 0.25 ppm of mercury: 3) soils that are within a few hundred feet of major base metal mineralization, with which even minor amounts of mercury are associated, may be expected to run between 0.25 and 2.5 ppm of mercury, and to have one or possibly two horizons that carry upwards of 1 ppm of mercury: 4) soils in the immediate vicinity of mercury mineralization should run at least from 1 to 50 ppm, with many analyses running from 10 to 20 ppm or more". (Warren, 1966 Page 1016).

The anomaly on line 36 + 00 N between the baseline and 1 + 50 W and on line 40 + 00 N between the baseline and 2 + 50 W is probably reflecting the mineralized zone that the copper anomaly showed.
The mercury anomaly on lines 40 + 00 N through to line 48 + 00 N at approximately 5 + 50 W does not correspond with a copper anomaly and represents values significantly higher than background.

Two possibilities are present in the interpretation of this anomaly.

1. The anomaly is non-significant; i.e. it is unrelated to a mineral deposit. This could be due to analytical or sampling errors, but is unlikely as the anomaly extends over three lines. It could also be caused by a concentration due to topographic forces. If this were the case, one would expect that a significant difference in the copper values would also be present in the same vicinity, which is not the case.

2. The anomaly is significant in that it is related to a mineral deposit.

As mercury is more easily dispersed than copper it is possible that the mineralization causing the anomaly is at depth. Since this anomaly is entirely within the north Induced Polarization Anomaly (fig.2) it is believed to be significant.

Downhill Line Geochemical Results

The profiles for total copper for the downhill line are included in Appendix A. A mercury interpretation for
this area is impossible due to the large number of samples containing organic material and thus being unreliable. The copper values are generally above the threshold giving an anomalous zone, but a more detailed survey is necessary to delineate the extent and magnitude.

CONCLUSIONS

The geochemical mercury anomaly on lines 40 + 00 N through to line 49 + 00 N at approximately 5 + 50 W (fig. 2) may be reflecting a halo of mercury which has been dispersed from a subsurface base metal deposit. The mercury anomaly coincides with a near-surface Induced Polarization anomaly described by Geosurvey Exploration Limited (Geosurvey Exploration Limited, 1966). The combination of the mercury anomaly and the Induced Polarization anomaly may be representing a subsurface zone of mineralization which supports the general conclusions reached from the geologic and geophysical work carried out on the property to date.
RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that a portion of the future exploration outlined in the Geologic Report No. 2 (M. A. Roed, 1966) be followed;

These recommendations are:

1. "...... drilling the north anomaly at a minimum of ten locations.

2. ......... drilling the south anomaly at a minimum of three locations.

3. ......... further induced polarization in order to delineate the anomalies for further drilling if results are favourable for the initial drilling programs.

4. ......... further geochemical soil sampling especially in zones of suspected faults."

In addition it is recommended that a more detailed and extensive geochemical soil sampling program be carried out in the vicinity of the down hill induced polarization anomaly prior to drilling of this anomaly.
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