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SUMMARY

During the period April 30th to May 22nd, 1973, Eagle Geophysics Limited carried out a time domain Induced Polarization (IP) survey on parts of the RM claim group located at Canim Lake, British Columbia, and held by Fox Geological Consultants Limited.

An IP anomaly approximately coincident with an instusive breccia of economic significance warrants further exploration by drilling. Drill targets will be selected in conjunction with the results of detailed geological mapping of the anomalous area, which is now nearing completion.

Further studies of the geological and geophysical data relating to complex anomalies within Zone 3 will be completed before any major drill programme is anticipated on this zone.
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1. INTRODUCTION

During the period April 30th to May 22nd, 1973 an Induced Polarization (IP) survey was carried out by Eagle Geophysics Limited for Fox Geological Consultants Limited on parts of the RM mineral claim group located on the southeast side of Canim Lake in British Columbia. Some 20 line miles of multi-separation time domain IP measurements were obtained.

The RM claim group comprises two hundred and twenty three (223) contiguous full sized and fractional mineral claims identified as follows:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim Name</th>
<th>Record Number</th>
<th>Expiry Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM 1 to 25, inclusive</td>
<td>28467 to 28490</td>
<td>June 28, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 25 to 32, inclusive</td>
<td>28812 to 28819</td>
<td>July 21, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 33 to 34</td>
<td>28556 and 28557</td>
<td>July 14, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 35 to 142, inclusive</td>
<td>28594 to 28701</td>
<td>July 14, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 143 to 176, inclusive</td>
<td>28558 to 28591</td>
<td>July 14, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 177 and 178</td>
<td>29070 and 19071</td>
<td>Aug 14, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 179 and 180</td>
<td>28592 and 28593</td>
<td>July 14, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 181 to 211, inclusive</td>
<td>29072 to 29102</td>
<td>Aug 14, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 212 to 220, inclusive</td>
<td>30261 to 30269</td>
<td>Nov 20, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 221 and 222</td>
<td>30419 and 30420</td>
<td>Dec 19, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 223</td>
<td>30686</td>
<td>May 7, 1974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1 Property Location

The RM claim group is located about 25 miles northeast of 100 Mile House on the south and east shores of Canim Lake not far from the west end of the lake at latitude 51° 49'N., longitude 128° 48'W. The approximate location of the claim group is shown in Figure 1.
1.2 Property Access

The property can be reached, by two wheel drive vehicle, by a hard surface road that leaves Highway 97 at 100 Mile House (Figure 1) and the Rocky Point access road and subsidiary logging roads, which provide access to an abandoned saw mill at Howard Lake, which is centrally located on the property. Several logging spurs branch north and south from the sawmill site allowing road access to most points on the property.

1.3 Purpose of Survey

The purpose of the present IP survey, on the northern part of the property, was to search for and outline concentrations of disseminated mineralization which are known to occur in an intrusive breccia body and in syenitic rocks adjacent to a major fault structure (Zone 1 on the accompanying maps). On the southern part of the property IP measurements were also obtained over two areas of interesting geology and magnetic response (Zones 2 and 3 on the accompanying maps).

The RM claims are situated on a broad timbered plateau. Precipitous terrain marked by numerous bluffs and steep talus slopes separates the plateau area from Canim Lake. Relief between the Lake (elevation 2,515 feet) and the plateau region is about 1000 feet. Slopes north and south of Howard Lake are gentle and wooded with poplar, fir and spruce. Local relief is not more than 300 feet. Higher hills are usually underlain by Tertiary volcanic rocks, which locally form prominent cliffs and steep valley walls. Streams flowing north and west from Howard Lake have straight, steep sided, V-shaped valleys.
Widespread glacial materials consisting of hard, compact boulder till and fluvio-glacial clay and silt are common. These materials range from a few feet to twenty feet or more in thickness. Swampy depressions are also common and these predominate south and east of Howard Lake.
2. INSTRUMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The IP equipment used to carry out this work was a
time domain measuring system developed and manufactured by
Huntec Limited of Toronto, Ontario.

The system used for this work consisted of a trans-
mitter, a motor generator and a Mark III receiving unit
incorporating a digital display readout for chargeability
measurements.

The transmitter, which provides a maximum of 10 kw
D.C. to the ground, obtains its power from a 10 kw, 400 cycle,
3 phase Leland alternator driven by an Onan gasoline engine.
The total cycle time for the transmitter was 6 seconds and the
duty ration (R) was 2.0 to 1. This means the cycling rate of
the transmitter was 2 seconds current "ON" and 1 second current
"OFF" with the pulses reversing continuously in polarity.

The Mark III receiver presents digitally four
individual (M) values of the decay curve at each station. The
(M) value reading is the ratio of \( V_s \) divided by \( V_p \) expressed
as a percentage. The quantity \( V_p \) is displayed separately.

The parameters measured by this unit are shown in
Figure 2. The delay time \( t_d \) and the integration interval \( t_p \)
of the receiver define completely the measurements \( M_1 \), \( M_2 \),
\( M_3 \) and \( M_4 \).

The delay time \( t_d \) may be set to 15, 30, 60, 120 or
240 milliseconds; similarly the integration interval \( t_p \) may
be set to 20, 30, 40, 50 or 60 milliseconds. This provides
twenty-five different sets of values for each of the four
sample points \( t_1 \), \( t_2 \), \( t_3 \) and \( t_4 \) of Figure 2. These
\[ M_1 = \frac{e(t_1)}{V_p} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{(td)}^{(td+tp)} \frac{V_s \cdot dt}{V_p \cdot tp} \]

\[ M_2 = \frac{e(t_2)}{V_p} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{(td+tp)}^{(td+3tp)} \frac{V_s \cdot dt}{V_p \cdot tp} \]

\[ M_3 = \frac{e(t_3)}{V_p} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{(td+3tp)}^{(td+7tp)} \frac{V_s \cdot dt}{V_p \cdot tp} \]

\[ M_4 = \frac{e(t_4)}{V_p} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{(td+7tp)}^{(td+15tp)} \frac{V_s \cdot dt}{V_p \cdot tp} \]

\[ M_0 = t_p \times 10^{-2} \sum (M_1 + 2M_2 + 4M_3 + 8M_4) \quad \text{(Milliseconds)} \]
quantities have been calculated and are shown in Table 1, together with the limits of integration corresponding to each of the intervals \((M_1), (M_2), (M_3)\) and \((M_4)\).

For this survey the delay time \((t_d)\) was fixed at 60 milliseconds and the integrating interval \((t_i)\) of 40 milliseconds; this gave a total integrating time \((T_p)\) of 600 milliseconds.

The apparent chargeability \((M_a)\) in milliseconds is obtained by summing the \((M)\) factors, weighted for their individual integrating times as follows:

\[
M_a = t_p \times 10^{-2} \sum \left(M_1 + 2M_2 + 4M_3 + 8M_4\right) \text{ milliseconds} \hspace{1cm} (1)
\]

The apparent resistivity \((\rho_a)\) in ohm-metres is obtained by dividing \((V_p)\) by the measured current \((I_g)\) and multiplying by a factor \((K)\) which is dependent on the geometry of the array used. The absolute value of \((V_p)\) is obtained by multiplying the digital voltmeter reading by the scale factor of the input attenuator.

The chargeabilities and resistivities obtained are called apparent as they are values which that portion of the earth sampled would have if it were homogeneous. As the earth sampled is usually inhomogeneous, the calculated apparent chargeabilities and resistivities are functions of the actual chargeabilities and resistivities of the rocks.

The majority of geophysicists, using time domain equipment, quote their apparent chargeability measurements in units of milliseconds. This is an unfortunate choice of units since these units are really millivolt seconds per volt. Therefore data obtained by different transmitters and receivers using different timing and sampling sequences will yield different
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period $t_p$</th>
<th>DELAY TIME $t_d$ IN MILLISECONDS</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $S$ - time in milliseconds from turn off at which integration commences.
- $E$ - time in milliseconds from turn off at which integration ceases.
- $M$ - the mid point between $S$ and $E$. 


"millisecond" values over the same orebody or mineralized zone. The interpreter must therefore pay special attention to the transmitter timing cycle, and the receiver delay time, integrating interval, and total integrating time, before making comparisons between data obtained with different systems.

In the mid-1960's a good deal of time domain data obtained by Huntec Limited in the Highland Valley used a transmitter with a 4 second cycle time, a duty ratio (R) of 3.0 to 1 and a receiver with a fixed delay time of 15 milliseconds and a fixed total integrating time of 400 milliseconds. Data obtained on the present survey is approximately 2 times greater than data obtained with the above described system. Furthermore the present data is approximately equivalent to data obtained with standard Scintrex (Newmont type) equipment.
3. SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS

The pole-dipole array was used for this IP survey. With this array the current electrode \( C_1 \) and the two potential electrodes \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are moved in unison along the lines to be surveyed. The second current electrode is grounded an "infinite" distance away, which is in fact about ten times or more the distance between \( C_1 \) and \( P_1 \). The dipole length \( (x) \) is the distance between \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \). The electrode separation \( (nx) \), is the distance between \( C_1 \) and \( P_1 \) and is equal to or some multiple of the distance between \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \).

With respect to a body of some particular size, shape, depth and true chargeability the dipole length \( (x) \) determines mainly the sensitivity of the array, whereas the electrode separation \( (nx) \) determines mainly the depth of penetration of the array.

On the North Grid the survey lines run east-west and are approximately 500 feet apart. This grid was surveyed with a dipole length \( (x) \) equal to 200 feet and measurements of apparent chargeability and apparent resistivity were made for first and second electrode separations, that is for \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \). All measurements were taken at 200-foot station intervals.

On the South Grid the survey lines also run east-west, but in this case, are approximately 1000 feet apart. This grid was surveyed with a dipole length \( (x) \) equal to 400 feet and measurements of apparent chargeability and apparent resistivity were made for first and second electrode separations, that is for \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \). All measurements were taken at 200-foot station intervals.
4. PRESENTATION OF DATA

The data obtained from the IP survey of the area described in this report are presented on four (4) maps, which are folded into the map pocket at the end of this report.

Map numbers E73174-1 and E73174-2 are contour maps of the apparent chargeability for the first \( n = 1 \) and second \( n = 2 \) separation measurements respectively. The contour interval is 5 milliseconds.

Map number E73174-3 and E73174-4 are contour maps of the apparent resistivity for the first \( n = 1 \) and second \( n = 2 \) separation measurements respectively. The contour interval is 200 ohm-metres.

All maps are at a horizontal scale of 1 inch equals 500 feet.
5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Induced polarization interpretation procedures have been most completely developed in situations of mineralized horizontal layering, where the electrode separations used are small compared with the lateral extent of the mineralized bodies. Geologically, the porphyry coppers of large lateral extent are practical examples where such interpretation procedures can be used to best advantage.

For more confined bodies, where the electrode separations used are often large compared with the lateral extent of the bodies themselves, the complex problem of resolving the combined effects of depth, width, thickness and true chargeability of such bodies, together with the physical characteristics of the overburden and country rocks have only recently been studied in detail. The results of much of this work remain as yet unpublished. The interpreter must therefore use empirical solutions, typecurves obtained from theoretical investigations, plus experience gained from surveys over known orebodies and the results of both computer and tank model studies.

In general a favourable anomaly shows a chargeability high, an associated resistivity low which in turn produces a strong metal factor high. This situation is ideal and applies more specifically to massive sulphide deposits. A chargeability high with little or no change in resistivity produces a metal factor anomaly of only moderate amplitude. Distinct resistivity lows having little or no chargeability response, but producing moderate amplitude metal factors are, in the present geological environment, anomalies of considerably less interest.

Anomalies are classified into three groups: definite, probable and possible. This grouping is based on the relative amplitudes of apparent chargeability, apparent resistivity and
to a lesser degree apparent metal factor. Of equal importance in the grouping of these anomalies is the overall anomaly pattern and degree to which this pattern may be correlated, from line to line, and with rock types of possible economic importance. Such a correlation, particularly for weak anomalies, increases considerably their attractiveness as potential drilling targets.

At the time of writing maps of apparent metal factor have not yet been completed.

5.1 Geology and Mineralization

The RM claims overlie altered volcanic rocks and derived sediments and tuff of the Nicola Group and several small stocks of diorite, syenodiorite, and intrusive breccia. The Takomkane batholith underlies much of the terrain to the west between Canim Lake and Forest Grove, and most of the plateau area to the south appears to be underlain by volcanic rocks of the Kamloops Group and younger plateau basalts. Several faults of regional extent are known in the Canim Lake area; a major fault that trends northeasterly through Howard Lake appears to be an important control of sulphide zones on the property.

Rocks underlying the property comprise an Upper Triassic volcanic sequence of flows, sediments, tuff, and coeval intrusive rocks. Sediments and tuff underlie the western half of the property and are faulted against andesitic flows, breccia, and intrusive rocks to the east. Complex volcanic breccias of the Kamloops Group (Miocene) unconformably overlie the Triassic assemblage.
The main area of economic significance lies in a narrow valley about 3000 feet north of Howard Lake. Thin fracture fillings and disseminated grains of pyrite, chalcopyrite, and bornite occur in an intrusive breccia body some 1400 by 2000 feet, and in syenitic rocks 500 feet farther north. Associated host rocks are altered to pink feldspar, epidote, chlorite and magnetite. Visual estimates suggest an overall grade of 0.1 to 0.2% copper, with higher grade, localized material up to 0.5% copper.

Low grade, disseminated chalcopyrite and bornite also occur in volcanic sediments along the main road leading to the west end of Howard Lake.

5.2 Induced Polarization Survey

On the North Grid the apparent chargeability background is approximately 4 milliseconds. The apparent resistivity varies from less than 100 ohm-metres to greater than 1000 ohm-metres and shows no distinct pattern. There are three main areas of increased apparent chargeability on this grid. Broadly these are as follows:

(1) In the central portion of Zone 1, mainly in the area of the diorite breccia, where apparent chargeability readings vary from about 10 to 20 milliseconds. This area is to the east of the major NNE trending fault and roughly coincident with the main area of economic significance.

(2) Immediately west of Zone 1, on the west end of lines 150N, 155N, 160N, 165N, 170N and 175N. The major fault appears to cut across the eastern portion of this area. Here apparent chargeability readings reach over 40 milliseconds. Disseminated pyrite is known to occur within this area.
(3) About 4000 feet south of the centre of Zone 1, at the west end of line 130N. This area may extend across Howard Lake to the south, as indicated by higher apparent chargeability readings near the east end of line 100N. However, higher apparent chargeability readings on the south side of the lake are associated with an increase in resistivity response which makes this prediction somewhat less likely. Here the anomalous response is quite weak with only a few readings reaching over 10 milli-seconds, and a background of 3 to 4 milliseconds.

At the time of writing detailed geological mapping is in progress on this grid. When this work has been completed a more thorough interpretation of the data will be possible. The final interpretation will include a correlation of detailed geology, IP data and ground magnetometer survey work. The magnetometer data is at present not available to the writer.

The final interpretation will definitely include the layout of a substantial drilling programme.

On the South Grid two main zones of interest were investigated. Here outcrop is sparse and overburden depths less well known. The IP survey was of a reconnaissance nature with lines about 1000 feet apart and measurements made with a 400-foot dipole. This technique should detect a sulphide body with a geometry of possible economic dimensions down to a depth of at least 500 or 600 feet.

The data obtained over Zone 2, with the exception of the higher readings towards the east end of line 100N, is essentially non-anomalous and there is little encouragement for further exploration based on the IP data alone.
Line 110N should be established on claims RM49 and RM50 and surveyed by IP methods to check for possible continuity with an area of higher readings on the North Grid, on the north side of Howard Lake.

The data obtained over the major portion of Zone 3 is strongly anomalous; fairly rapid changes in apparent charge-ability and apparent resistivity lead to complex anomalous patterns. Two north-south areas with strong apparent charge-ability responses can be observed within the zone. Firstly an area about 4000 feet long and 800 feet wide underlines the east half of claims RM118, RM120 and RM122. Secondly an area about 1200 feet wide and 3000 feet long underlies claims RM102, RM104 and RM106. Both these areas have associated apparent resistivity highs and fairly low apparent metal factor values. This is particularly true of the western area where the apparent metal factor values are approximately the same, or may be lower, than the background values for the major portion of the zone.

Based mainly on the IP data, Zone 3 is therefore a less attractive zone than Zone 1 with respect to additional exploration expenditures in the form of drilling. It should however be considered for additional exploration when all data has been presented and fully evaluated. In particular maps of apparent metal factor values should be prepared and evaluated.
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

From a study of the IP data obtained on the survey described in this report, along with a study of the geology available to date, it has been concluded that:-

(A) The IP anomaly, detected within Zone 1 on the North Grid, justifies a substantial drilling programme.

(B) No additional work, in the form of drilling, is at present justified for Zone 2 on the South Grid.

(C) An increase in apparent chargeability towards the east end of line 100N may represent a southern extension of an anomalous area detected on the north side of Howard Lake.

(D) Complex anomalies in Zone 3, on the South Grid, may be partially caused by increases in resistivity which reflect varying overburden thicknesses.

Based mainly on the IP data it is recommended that:-

(1) The final selection of drill targets on Zone 1 be made after the magnetic and IP data has been correlated with the detailed geological mapping now in progress.

(2) Line 110N be established over claims RM49 and RM50 and surveyed by IP methods.

(3) Further studies of the geological and geophysical data relating to Zone 3, in particular the preparation and evaluation of metal factor maps, should be completed prior to any anticipated drilling programme on this zone.

Respectfully submitted,
EAGLE GEOPHYSICS LIMITED

Geophysicist
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PERSONNEL EMPLOYED ON SURVEY

Eagle Geophysics Limited provided the following personnel to complete the field work and report writing of the IP Survey described in this report:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Lloyd</td>
<td>Geophysicist</td>
<td>Eagle Geophysics Ltd 575 Lucerne Place</td>
<td>Apr 30 to May 18, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>North Vancouver, B.C.</td>
<td>June 7th and 8th, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.D. Brydle</td>
<td>Geophysicist</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apr 30 to May 22, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.S. Coote</td>
<td>Geophysicist</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apr 30 to May 22, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Slominski</td>
<td>Geophysical Operator</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apr 30 to May 21, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.G. Ek</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apr 30 to May 11, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.J. Bing</td>
<td>Helper</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 12 to May 21, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.A. Molnar</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 18 to May 21, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Winnifield</td>
<td>Draftsman</td>
<td></td>
<td>June 4, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Fife</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td></td>
<td>June 11, 1973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COST OF SURVEY

Eagle Geophysics Limited provided the geophysical crew, the IP equipment and a 4 x 4 crewcab on a per diem basis. The report writing and some of the drafting were provided at an additional cost. Therefore the total cost of all services provided by Eagle Geophysics Limited was $9,994.37.
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