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SUMMARY

The Robin property consists of one 20 unit claim block staked in the Alberni Mining Division, British Columbia. It is accessible by road from Port Alberni.

Situated in the gold-bearing Kennedy Lake area, the property is underlain by a thick sequence of volcanic and sedimentary rocks which have been intruded by granitoid rocks of the Island Intrusions and Westcoast Complex. Tertiary felsic intrusives and volcanics are known in the area, and this is interpreted as a suitable host for precious metals deposits. Although several previously producing gold deposits occur in the area, there are no known mineral reserves or occurrences on the property.

Results of exploration work described in this report have outlined two possible exploration targets: (1) structurally-controlled gold mineralization occurring marginally to a granodiorite intrusive at the northern part of the property, and (2) gold mineralization associated with intense hydrothermal alteration at the southern part of the property. Anomalous values of gold (up to 0.6 g/tonne) have been outlined in rocks on the property to support the first target.

Based on these results, a two-stage, success-contingent program of mineral exploration is recommended. The initial stage of geological mapping, prospecting, and geochemistry is estimated to cost $20,000, and the follow-up work of geophysics and trenching is estimated to cost $55,000 for a total of $75,000.
INTRODUCTION

At the request of the directors of Odyssey Resources Ltd., a geological-geochemical exploration program was formulated and carried out in November, 1984 on the Robin property. The purpose of this report is to assess the property's economic potential through a description of this work.

The property is located approximately 20 km northeast of Ucluelet, B.C. (Figure 1), and is accessible by road.

Gold was first sought in the Kennedy Lake region in the late 1800's, with the resultant discovery of a number of small but high grade deposits. No mineral deposits are yet known on the Robin property.

Exploration work carried out in 1984 has consisted of a reconnaissance program of prospecting, geological mapping, and geochemical rock and stream sediment sampling. Work was carried out by C.H. Gould, P. Eng., H. J. Keyser, B.Sc. of Aurum Geological Consultants Inc., and W. Dynes of Toquart Mining Explorations Ltd.
LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Robin property is located in southwestern Vancouver Island, British Columbia, about 20 km northeast of Ucluelet. It is situated in the southern part of the Mackenzie Range, 5 km east of Kennedy Lake (Figure 2). The geographic coordinates of a point approximately in the center of the property are 49° 05.8' North Latitude and 125° 23.9' West Longitude.

Access to the southwest corner of the property is by logging road leading from the Port Alberni-Tofino highway at Kennedy Lake. Alternatively, access is provided by helicopters based at Port Alberni.
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HISTORY

Considerable prospecting was carried out in the Kennedy Lake area during the late 1800's following the discovery of placer gold in beach sands on the west coast of Vancouver Island. This work culminated in the discovery of a number of high grade gold-bearing quartz veins. No documentation of previous exploration is available on the ground subject of this report.

The Robin property was first staked in 1983 by prospectors J. Dupuis and W. Dynes upon recognition of a potential precious metals-bearing environment. Several gold properties in the area have seen intensive exploration programs carried out in the last few years.
The property consists of one 20 unit claim block staked under the Modified Grid staking system of British Columbia. Claim data is as follows:

Claim Name: Robin
Units: 20 (4N x 5E)
Record No.: 1858 (10)
Recording Date: Nov. 3, 1983
Expiry Date: Nov. 3, 1984; pending assessment approval
Mining Division: Alberni
Recorded Owner: W. Dynes
B.C. Claim Map: M 92F/13 W

Odyssey Resources Ltd., collectively with Veratech Resources Ltd., holds a 100% interest in the property by option agreement dated May 1st, 1984.
CLIMATE, TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION

The climate in the area of the Robin property is mild, and it is generally snow-free year round. Precipitation is heavy, upwards of 500 cm per year.

The property flanks the Vancouver Island Ranges and as such topographic relief is moderate to rugged. Elevations on the ground range from 240 to 900 metres above sea level. Pleistocene glaciation has greatly modified the area, and such glacial features as U-shaped valleys and outwash plains are common.

Vegetation consists of heavily timbered cedar, spruce, balsam, and hemlock typical of western Vancouver Island. Sufficient water and timber resources are available for any exploration or mining requirement.
Regional Geology

The Robin property is situated in the southern part of the Insular Tectonic Belt: the Vancouver Island Ranges. Muller and Carson (1969) and Muller (1977) have adequately described the regional geology.

A thick, predominantly submarine, volcano-sedimentary succession of Triassic to Jurassic rocks known as the Vancouver Group form the oldest exposed lithologies in the area of the property. These have been intruded during the Jurassic by porphyritic granodiorites of the Island Intrusions. A heterogeneous, possibly migmatitic, assemblage of high grade metamorphics and quartz diorites known as the Westcoast Complex occurs to the southwest. The Island Intrusions and Westcoast Complex are possibly consanguinous with the upper part of the Vancouver Group. Minor Tertiary intrusions of high level quartz diorites and felsic volcanics are known in the area.

Of particular interest are a series of northwest-trending (Tertiary ?) regional faults in the area. Intersection of several of these structures occurs within the property, and abutting lithologies may be in fault contact.

Geology of the Robin Claim

Property geology, from reconnaissance mapping as part of this report (Figure 3), is much more complex than can be shown on the previous regional mapping. Lithologic contacts are somewhat different in location and style (intrusive versus fault), and the presence of regionally-mapped faults could not be confirmed.
Karmutsen basaltic andesites of the Vancouver Group are the main lithology underlying the Robin property. These rocks occur as an undivided sequence of brownish-weathering, massive, mafic to intermediate volcanic flows and volcanic breccias (Map Unit muTRK). A distinctive dark-green color on fresh surfaces is the result of regional greenschist facies metamorphism. Porphyritic and amygdaloidal varieties are common, although sometimes difficult to recognize. Primary volcanic breccia consists of angular basaltic, andesitic, and jasperoid fragments (up to 50 cm in diameter) supported in a fine sometimes fragmental matrix of similar composition. Primary structures, in particular bedding, are difficult to discern and as such the thickness of the Karmutsen Formation in the claim area is unknown.

Hydrothermal alteration of the Karmutsen is evident in at least two locations on the Robin property, both areas located south of Eureka Creek. Intense fracturing (somewhat parallel), bleaching, argillization, and pyritization completely overprint primary textures. The extent and origin of these zones is not yet known.

To the southwest, the Karmutsen andesites are juxtaposed (probably fault-controlled) against quartz diorites (Map Unit PMnb) of the Westcoast Complex. Several andesitic dikes cut the quartz diorites and dip steeply to the northeast.

A single outcrop of a light-colored quartz-eye "porphyry" with an intensely altered (silicified clays?) matrix has been mapped near the inferred contact between the Karmutsen and the quartz diorites. This is thought to be a metaquartzite of the Westcoast Complex (Map Unit PMns).

_Aurum Geological Consultants Inc._
Granodiorites of the Island Intrusions (Map Unit Jg) are exposed near the headwaters of Eureka Creek at the northern part of the claim. Approximately equal amounts of hornblende and biotite are hosted in a quartz and feldspar porphyry.

The contact zone with the Karmutsen is well exposed, with a fine-grained chloritized chill margin in the granodiorite indicating an intrusive contact. However, some shearing is evidenced by minor, randomly oriented, quartz-filled fractures and slickensides.

Structural information available on the property is not complete, but a complex structural situation is indicated. In addition to the major faults indicated by regional mapping, several less intense faults and shear zones are known on the ground. These faults are marked by breccias, gouge, and fractured zones, predominantly in the Karmutsen in the area of Eureka Creek. Quartz veins and mafic dikes may also mark fault zones. Several of these structures seem to indicate a preferred steeply dipping, northwest striking attitude direction.

Regional mapping (Muller, 1977) indicates a major north-trending fault occurring in the valley of Eureka Creek. Although the recessive weathering character of the sometimes deeply incised creek valley could indicate an underlying structural weakness such as a fault, its presence could not be confirmed by geological mapping.

A tabulated geological history of the property and area is given as Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit*</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Event/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Unconsolidated glacial debris. Late stage volcanic activity resulting in metallogenic hot springs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>Tertiary (Eocene?)</td>
<td>Granitoid intrusions, minor volcanism. Alteration and mineralization? Erosional unconformity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>Cretaceous (?) to Palaeocene (?)</td>
<td>Regional tectonic activity; Erosional unconformity, folding, faulting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jg</td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>Island Intrusions; Granitoid intrusions, metamorphism, alteration, and mineralization?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMns</td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>Westcoast Complex; Migmatites and high grade metamorphics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMnb</td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>Westcoast Complex; Quartz diorites. NOTE: Jg, PMns, PMnb comagmatic with upper part of Vancouver Group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muTRK</td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td>Karmutsen Formation; Submarine basaltic to andesitic eruptions, probably constituting new oceanic crust as a ridge tholeiite. Part of Vancouver Group, and overlain by Quatsino and Parson Bay Formations, and Bonanza Group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* from Muller, 1977

**TABLE 1.** Geologic History of the Robin Claim Area.
GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS

A limited sampling program of rocks and stream sediments was carried out as part of the field work for geochemical purposes. A total of 15 rock and 7 stream sediment samples were taken, and analyzed by CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd. of Delta, B.C. All samples were analyzed for gold, silver, copper, lead, zinc, arsenic, and antimony, with the stream sediments being analyzed for mercury as well.

The stream sediment samples were taken from the active portion of creek bed loads, and sieved (-40 mesh) and pan-concentrated in the field. Analyzed metal values are generally at or below normal crustal abundances. Samples RS-1 and RS-2 indicate weakly anomalous mercury near the headwaters of Eureka Creek.

Rock samples were taken of altered, fractured, veined, or other geologically anomalous rock materials. Silver, copper, lead, zinc, arsenic, and antimony analyses are generally at or below normal crustal abundances.

Several of the rock samples taken in Eureka Creek are anomalous in gold. These values are associated with structural features such as quartz veins, gouge-filled fault zones, and structurally-controlled alterations. The three highest values were subsequently fire-assayed for gold with a good correlation of results.

These values are 0.30, 0.40, and 0.60 grams/tonne gold. Sample locations with gold and silver analyses are shown on Figure 4, with sample descriptions and complete analytical values shown in the Appendix to this report.
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Robin mineral property is situated over a thick lower Mesozoic volcano-sedimentary package which has been intruded by two separate but consanguinous granitoid stocks of Jurassic age. Tertiary intrusives and volcanics are known in the area. Structural activity has resulted in several major and minor faults, and this is interpreted as a suitable environment for precious metals deposits. However, no mineral deposits are known to occur on the claim.

The property is a gold prospect. Geochemical sampling combined with geological mapping has outlined a structurally complex area with gold values ranging up to 0.60 grams/tonne. These values occur in andesites proximal to a granodiorite intrusion, suggesting the possibility of contact-controlled gold mineralization occurring somewhat peripherally to the margin of the intrusion.

Intensely altered andesites occur south of this area. Although no mineralization other than pyrite is known at these locations, they represent zones of hydrothermal activity possibly associated with mineralizing fluids.

A two-stage program of mineral exploration is recommended for the Robin claim, where the second stage is contingent on an economic evaluation of results obtained in the first stage.
Stage 1.

A. Geological Mapping: The geology of the Robin claim is poorly understood. Detailed geological mapping and combined prospecting at a scale of 1:2500 is required with special attention paid to structure, evidence of vein systems, and hydrothermal alteration and mineralization. Overburden and dense vegetation make this a difficult task.

B. Geochemical Program: Rock, stream sediment, and heavy mineral geochemistry is recommended.

Stage 2.

Stage 2 would be implemented if the exploration work carried out as Stage 1 were successful in the location of mineralized zones and/or geologically/geochemically anomalous areas.

A. Road Building: Road access should be provided to areas of interest.

B. Geophysics: Dependent on the type of exploration target found (or sought), induced polarization and/or electromagnetic surveying is recommended.

C. Trenching: Trenching of overburden utilizing explosives and/or heavy equipment would be initiated in areas outlined by the above work.

Optional/Alternate: Soil geochemistry, ground magnetic/VLF-EM surveying.
The costs for the recommended exploration program on the Robin claim are estimated below:

### Stage 1

Geologist: 15 days @$250/day  
Prospector/Assistant: 15 days @$200/day  
Helicopter support: 6 hours @$500/hr  
Camp and food costs (or motel)  
Analytical work (200 samples)  
Supervision & Report Preparation  
Contingencies

Total Estimated Cost of Stage 1: $20,000.00

### Stage 2

Dependent on results of Stage 1.

Road Building:
- Cat D8 or equivalent  
  100 hours @$125/hr  
Geophysics:
- IP, 10 days @$1000/day, or  
- EM, 20 days @$500/day  
Blast trenching:
- 2 men, 5 days @$400/day, including explosives  
Cat trenching:
- Cat D8 or 235, or equivalent  
  100 hours @$125/hr  
Camp and food costs (or motel)  
Analytical work (200 samples)  
Supervision and Report Preparation  
Contingencies

Total Estimated Cost of Stage 2: $55,000.00

TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF STAGES 1 AND 2: $75,000.00

Respectfully submitted,

Charles H. Gould, P. Eng.  
Harmen J. Keyser, B.Sc.
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APPENDIX
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Analytical Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 01</td>
<td>N fork of main creek</td>
<td>Rusty weathering shear zone in Karmutsen. Frac'd, bleached andesite, 5% Py, abundant clays.</td>
<td>120 / 80W</td>
<td>1.5m</td>
<td>Au ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 02</td>
<td>N fork of main creek; 5 m up from R 01</td>
<td>Rusty clay gouge</td>
<td>180 / 80W</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 03</td>
<td>S of main creek</td>
<td>Intensely altered Karmutsen andesite; bleached, fractured, argillic alterations, 5% Py. Typical sample, margins not exp'd.</td>
<td>N 030 / 075E</td>
<td>&gt;3m</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 04</td>
<td>W bank, N fork, main creek</td>
<td>Clay gouge filled shear zone, v. rusty (gossanous), remnant Py vacancies, weak schistosity parallel with margins in Karmutsen.</td>
<td>120 / 30W</td>
<td>70 cm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 05</td>
<td>not taken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 06a</td>
<td>as R 04</td>
<td>Silicified gray weathering andesite, FW of above shear zone, 2% Py.</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 06b</td>
<td>as above</td>
<td>as above, HW, Weak schistosity 020/65W, 5% Py</td>
<td>N 030 / 070E</td>
<td>typical</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 07</td>
<td>30 m downstream from above, E bank</td>
<td>Andesite crackle breccia, minor gouge, chloritized.</td>
<td>110 / 70W</td>
<td>70 cm</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 08a</td>
<td>as above, W bank</td>
<td>Quartz vein, interstitial chlorite, druzy, 2% Py. Chip sample.</td>
<td>030 / 80W</td>
<td>15 cm</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 08b</td>
<td>as above</td>
<td>Typical sample of bleached andesite from FW of vein. Trace Py.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 09</td>
<td>2 m West of R 08a</td>
<td>Randomly oriented &quot;stockwork&quot; of &lt;1cm quartz stringers in andesite. Grab sample of quartz; 1-2% Py.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Analytical Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 10</td>
<td>West of R 08a</td>
<td>Chip sample of yellow-green stained argillically altered bleached andesite, traces Py, from west side of vein.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5m</td>
<td>Au ppb 180 Ag ppm 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 11</td>
<td>as above</td>
<td>Grab sample of yellow-green stained clays.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 12</td>
<td>In main creek, below vein.</td>
<td>Typical sample of vuggy quartz float, 2% fine grained Py.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>510 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 13</td>
<td>On hill south of main creek.</td>
<td>Typical sample of bleached clayey andesite float, 5% fine grained disseminated Py.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 14</td>
<td>10 m S of R 13</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GEOCHEMICAL REPORT

TO: Aurum Geological Consultants Inc.
620 East 14th Street
North Vancouver, B.C. V7L 2P1

FILE NO.: 84-361
DATE: November 16, 1984

ATTENTION: Harmen Keyser
PROJECT: Robin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Au (ppb)</th>
<th>Ag (ppm)</th>
<th>Cu (ppm)</th>
<th>Pb (ppm)</th>
<th>Zn (ppm)</th>
<th>As (ppm)</th>
<th>Sb (ppm)</th>
<th>Hg (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 01</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 02</td>
<td>L5 .2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 03</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 04</td>
<td>5 .1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 06a</td>
<td>L5 .2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 06b</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 07</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 08a</td>
<td>180 .1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 08b</td>
<td>230 .2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 09</td>
<td>330 .2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 10</td>
<td>180 .1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 11</td>
<td>70 .2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 12</td>
<td>510 .2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 13</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 14</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 1</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 2</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 3</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 4</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 5</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 6</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 7</td>
<td>L5 .1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"L" indicates "less than"
Stream sediments RS 1 through RS 7: analyses performed on -40 fraction.

Results on this page are geochemical determinations:
Au: fire assay, AA.
Ag,Cu,Pb,Zn: 20% nitric acid digestion, AA.
As,Sb: nitric acid digestion, AA (vapour hydride generator).
Hg: nitric/aq. regia/nitric digestion, AA.
**Results on this page are assays (fire assay, gravimetric finish).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Au (g/tonne)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 08b</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 09</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 12</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>