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1. SUMMARY

The Lemon Lake property consists of seven tenements totaling 2,646.16 hectares, located about 10 km. to the northeast of the village of Horsefly in south central British Columbia, about 60km northeast of the regional centre of Williams Lake. The property is located on the Cariboo plateau towards its eastern edge. The western and southern parts of the property are topographically subdued with an average altitude of about 850m but the terrain rises up to over 1200m ASL in the north and northwest. Vegetation is mixed deciduous and coniferous forest except in the south and southwest where logging and ranching activities have removed the bush.

Exploration of the property began in 1966 when a small induced polarization survey was undertaken to the northeast of Lemon Lake. Since that time the central part of the property has been extensively explored and three small drilling programs carried out. No work had been carried out over the eastern part of the property except for limited geological reconnaissance. The geology of the property was mapped in at 1:10,000 scale in 2010.

Regionally the Lemon Lake property lies within Quesnellia, a Mesozoic shoshonitic island arc that developed to the west of ancestral North America. Within this island arc a number of volcanic centres developed which became the loci of upwelling mafic to felsic plutons of which some hosted copper-gold mineralization. The volcanic stratigraphy into which the plutons were emplaced is quite uniform within the south central part of Quesnellia and the geology of the Lemon lake property is typical of the belt.

In 2011 a time domain induced polarization survey totaling was conducted over the western part of the Lemon Lake pluton. This work defined a zone of anomalous chargeability that extends to the northeast over a distance of about 2.5 km and which, to a large extent, covers the monzonitic phase of the pluton.
2. INTRODUCTION

2.1 General Statement

In 2011 Metalogic Exploration Inc. carried out an induced polarization/total field magnetic survey over its Lemon Lake mineral tenures, a survey that totaled 39.4 line km of induced polarization surveying and 39.2 line km of magnetic surveying. This survey was undertaken as a followup to previous geological mapping (Bailey, 2010a, b) that suggested that a monzonite unit of the Lemon Lake pluton was favourable for hosting copper-gold mineralization of alkalic porphyry-type.

2.2 Location, Access, Physiography and Climate

The Lemon Lake property is located about ten kilometers northeast of the village of Horsefly which, in turn, is about 60 kilometers northeast of the regional centre of Williams Lake. Access to Horsefly from Williams Lake is by all-weather paved highway via 150 Mile House (Figure 1). From Horsefly the western part of the property is reached via the 8500 Forestry Access Road while the eastern part is accessed from the Sucker Lake Forestry Service Road (Figure 2).

The topography of the western and southern part of the property is subdued and averages about 850m ASL in elevation. The southern part covers farm and ranch land and logged areas that are now covered with juvenile fir and pine, alder and poplar. The northern and eastern parts of the property, however, range up 1250m ASL in elevation and the topographic is much more pronounced than in the south and west. Vegetation in northern and eastern parts is mainly mature second growth stands of fir and pine although much of the pine is dead-standing as a result of beetle-kill. Parts of this area of the property are currently being logged.

The climate of the area is typical of the central Cariboo Plateau with average temperatures ranging from about -10°C in January to about 15°C in July. While snow generally does not begin to accumulate until mid to late November, there are only about six snow free months. Summers are mild to hot at times and a typical summer weather pattern is characterized by warm days with mid to late afternoon thunderstorms and cool nights.
Figure 1. Location of the Lemon Lake project area, central Cariboo, British Columbia.
2.3 Mineral Tenures

The Lemon Lake property comprises seven mineral tenements totaling 2,646.16 hectares of which four eastern ones are held by Cedar Mountain Exploration Inc. (Table 1). It is these latter tenements that are the subject of the work described herein. Tenement disposition is shown in Figure 3. As a result of exploration carried out in 2011, these tenements are current until 25th October, 2018, assuming approval of the work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure No.</th>
<th>Tenure Name</th>
<th>Good To Date</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>519005</td>
<td></td>
<td>2018/Oct/25</td>
<td>829.37</td>
<td>Cedar Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519007</td>
<td></td>
<td>2018/Oct/25</td>
<td>197.53</td>
<td>Cedar Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539960</td>
<td>Cominco 1</td>
<td>2018/Oct/25</td>
<td>493.63</td>
<td>Cedar Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539662</td>
<td>Cominco 2</td>
<td>2018/Oct/25</td>
<td>256.79</td>
<td>Cedar Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617347</td>
<td>Lemon 1A</td>
<td>2018/Oct/25</td>
<td>454.22</td>
<td>Bailey/Macpherson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>831513</td>
<td>Lemon E1</td>
<td>2018/Oct/25</td>
<td>39.50</td>
<td>Bailey/Macpherson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Exploration History

Exploration of the Lemon Lake property was initiated in 1966 by Helicon Exploration Ltd. who carried out limited frequency domain induced polarization surveying totaling 2.5 km adjacent to small lake east of Lemon Lake. This work resulted in defining a chargeability response, expressed as “percent frequency effect” of several times background (Ware, 1966). Further pole-dipole frequency domain induced polarization surveying was undertaken in 1970 by Silver Standard Resources Inc. who covered a relatively large area over the Lemon Lake pluton but at a north-south line spacing of about 475m and a station interval of about 320m. This work, consisting of about 17.7 line/km., outlined a broad chargeability anomaly that extends, more or less, to the northeast from about 500m north of Lemon Lake for about 1.5km (Chaplin and Currie, 1970). Because of the single electrode spacing used, pseudosections could not be generated and depth of current penetration not ascertained.
In 1973 Hudsons Bay Oil and Gas (“HBOG”) undertook a soil geochemical survey over part of that area underlain by the Lemon Lake pluton and analysed the samples for Cu, Mo, Zn, Pb and Ag (Hegge, 1973). This work outlined an area characterized by elevated copper values in soils that, more or, was coincident with part of the IP anomaly previously defined by Silver Standard. In 1974 HBOG drilled the coincident geochemical/IP anomaly, using an open hole, rotary percussion method and, in one hole, 74 L-4, 21.3m of mineralization grading 0.25% Cu was intersected (Hegge, 1974). Later in 1974 HBOG carried out magnetic surveying over the Lemon Lake pluton (Olson, 1974).

No exploration was carried out over the Lemon Lake area between 1974 and 1986. In 1986 Orbex Minerals Ltd. claimed the Lemon Lake area and carried out a multielement soil geochemical survey with the aim of defining areas of enriched gold in soils (Payne and Fox, 1987). Two anomalous areas were discovered, one to the northeast of Long Lake, adjacent to two small lakes about which Helicon Exploration Ltd. had defined a small, linear induced polarization anomaly (Ware, 1966), and the second within volcanic rocks to the north of the Lemon Lake pluton, on the edge of part of the induced polarization anomaly defined by Silver Standard Resources in 1970. These two areas were diamond drilled by Orbex in 1987 with three holes being drilled on the northern target and four on the Helicon IP anomaly. These latter holes, drilled at -60° to the east, intersected very broken ground interpreted herein as a northeast-striking fault that passes under and adjacent to the lakes. No mineralization greater than 0.1% Cu was intersected except in hole 206-4 which cut six metres averaging 0.11% Cu at a downhole depth of 48-54m (Payne, 1987).

In 1988 Geva Resource Company Ltd. embarked on a program of geological mapping, soil geochemistry and geophysics (Allen and Ditson, 1988). This work included the collection of 723 soil samples at a 25m spacing on east-west lines 100m apart. The samples were analysed for 30 elements by inductively coupled plasma spectrometry (ICPS) which, at that time, was a method that had not long been utilized within the mineral exploration industry and produced results with poor precision although useful in terms of establishing element associations. Induced polarization surveying was carried out over two sections of the grid: in the northwestern part 14.4 line km. of surveying was completed while 2.8 line km. was undertaken over the same area as Helicon’s 1966 survey in the eastern part of the grid. In addition some VLF-EM surveying was carried out. Geological mapping of the property, mainly over the Lemon Lake pluton, was the first accurate mapping of the pluton and defined four main intrusive phases - gabbro/pyroxenite, diorite, syenodiorite and monzonite. With the exception of syenodiorite which the writer considers to be possibly formed by weak potassium alteration of diorite, work described herein confirms Allen and Ditson’s (1988) work although a different interpretation of the positions of lithological contacts and faults is presented here.

Canim Lake Gold Corp. in 1992 carried out soil geochemical surveying over an area
that had seen little previous exploration, an area south of Lemon Lake and Long Lake (Schatten, 1994). Soil samples were analysed for copper only, results of which indicated two areas, one south of Long Lake and the other to the southeast of Long Lake, that were anomalous in copper (up to 448 ppm). 12 reverse circulation holes were subsequently drilled in areas of high soil copper values, of which one, L92-3, intersected 0.26% Cu over 6.1m at a downhole depth of 36.6m. All holes with the exception of L92-9 which was drilled through monzonite, L92-11 which intersected basalt and L92012 which was abandoned in overburden, were drilled into gabbro and diorite (Schatten, 1994). The following year Canim Lake conducted a magnetic survey over the same area that had been previously soil sampled.

Joranex Resources Inc. conducted reconnaissance geological mapping in 1994 (Salat, 1995) over the northern part of the Lemon Lake area, mainly to the north of the Lemon Lake pluton. In 1996, Joranex basically repeated work carried out in 1995 but extended geological map coverage to the south to cover part of the Lemon Lake pluton (Salat, 1997). This work was repeated once again by Joranex, this time on behalf of AN-Kobra Resources Inc. in 2002 (Salat, 2002).

Cedar Mountain Exploration Inc. acquired the Lemon Lake tenements in June 2006 and in 2007 carried out a limited amount of rock sampling (Raffle and Knight, 2007); some of these samples were collected from the eastern part of the property, an area that had seen no exploration in the past. Most of this work was on existing roads that traversed the property but, in 2008 Cedar Mountain undertook additional sampling of outcrops that had not been visited previously, this time away from existing roads and trails (Price, 2008).

In 2010 the western part of the Lemon Lake property was mapped for Bailey and Macpherson (Bailey 2010a) and later that same year the eastern part of the property was mapped for Cedar Mountain Exploration Inc. (Bailey, 2010b).

The areas covered by previous exploration programmes are outlined on the accompanying plan (Figure 5).

2.5 Current Program

In 2011 Metalogic Exploration Inc. acquired the property and undertook an induced polarization and magnetic survey. Previous geological mapping (Bailey, 2010a, b) showed that the Lemon Lake pluton was differentiated from gabbro with minor pyroxenite in the south, to diorite, comprising much of the pluton, and monzonite, the most felsic unit of the pluton and which occupied the northern and western part of the pluton. This latter unit had not been drill tested except for some early percussion holes by HBOG of which one, 74-4, intersected about 21 m of copper mineralization grading about 0.25% Cu.

Scott Geophysics Ltd. of Vancouver was contracted to carry out a time domain induced polarization survey to cover much of the diorite unit and the monzonite. The
The surveyed area is shown in Figure 2. Parts of the pluton had been surveyed by induced polarization in the past. A small frequency domain survey over the eastern part of the current grid (Ware, 1966) area was undertaken over the Pine copper occurrence (MINFILE No. 093A 002), and a larger time domain survey over the western part of the current grid (Allen and Ditson, 1988) (Figure 5). Because of the single electrode spacing and the wide line spacing, this survey was not appropriate to test the monzonite unit for metallic mineralization at depth.

3. GEOLOGY

The geology of the Lemon Lake property has been described Bailey (2010a, b) and is summarized herein. The Lemon Lake area is underlain by typical lithologies of the central Quesnel Terrane, a Triassic assemblage that comprises lower basaltic unit of shoshonitic chemistry overlain by polylithic volcanic breccia, sandstone and siltstone, into which plutons composed of a range of compositions from pyroxenite to monzonite were intruded. Many of these plutons have related copper-gold mineralization of alkalic porphyry-type, the object of exploration at Lemon Lake.

Overlying the Triassic geology is olivine - pyroxene basalt of the Miocene Chilcotin assemblage and Pleistocene and Quaternary glacial and postglacial unconsolidated sediments.

The regional geological setting is illustrated in Figure 4 while the geology of the Lemon lake property is shown on the accompanying map (Figure 6). The geology of south central Quesnellia, the terrane that hosts the Lemon Lake property, is described in detail by Panteleyev et al., (1996).

4. 2011 GEOPHYSICAL PROGRAMME

Induced polarization (IP) and magnetometer surveying was undertaken by Scott Geophysics Ltd. on behalf of Metalogic Exploration Inc. over part of the Lemon Lake pluton during the periods October 25 - November 8 and November 22-27, 2011. A total of 39.4 km of IP surveying in the time domain and 39.2 km of magnetometer (total magnetic field) surveying was mostly completed over a grid established by Hendex Exploration Contracting of Prince George. 3 km of the survey was carried out along the Sucker Lake Forest Service Road, a road that crosses the eastern and most poorly exposed part of the Lemon Lake pluton. The location of the grid is shown in Figures 3 and .

Grid lines surveyed were 200 m apart and were cut to cover the western part of the Lemon Lake pluton, underlain by all three main phases of the pluton, viz gabbro, diorite and monzonite.

Details of the survey are presented in a separate report by Scott Geophysics Ltd., included herein as Appendix 1.
Figure 4. Regional geological setting of the Lemon Lake project area (after Schiarizza and Bligh, 2008).
IP data were inverted and presented as level plans at depths of 40 m, 100 m and 200 m (Figures 8 - 13). IP pseudosections and profiles of total magnetic field are given in Figure 7. A plan of total magnetic intensity of the survey area is shown as Figure 14.

5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Induced polarization surveying over the Lemon Lake pluton has defined a zone of anomalous (defined as above 15 mV/V) chargeability trending to the northeast, extending for about 2.5 km and up to 700 m wide over the monzonite unit of the pluton. Total magnetic intensity values over the area of high chargeability are generally low, suggesting that the area of high chargeability is either magnetite-poor or, as magnetite is a common associate of copper-gold mineralization in alkalic copper-gold systems of Quesnellia, that magnetite has been oxidized to hematite. Resistivity is also low over the area of high chargeability.

1974 open hole percussion drilling by HBOG resulted in the intersection of one hole, 74-04, of 0.25% copper over 21m from the surface (Hegge, 1974). This was the only hole that intersected significant copper mineralization of the 12 hole programme and was the only hole that was collared within the IP anomalous zone defined by the 2011 survey. This suggests that, at least part of, the IP anomaly reflects the presence of copper sulphide mineralization within the monzonite unit of the Lemon Lake pluton.
5. EXPENDITURE STATEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field supervision and planning; October 22-25, 201: 4 days @ $900/day</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line cutting</td>
<td>34,585.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geophysics: IP and magnetometer surveying</td>
<td>64,568.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field expenses;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and accommodation:</td>
<td>8,189.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals</td>
<td>2,982.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field supplies</td>
<td>1,714.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report preparation; 4 days @ $900/day</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** 119,390.30
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1. INTRODUCTION

Induced polarization (IP) and total field magnetometer surveys were performed at the Lemon Lake Project, Horsefly area, B.C. within the periods October 25-November 8 and November 22-27, 2011. In addition, non-differential GPS readings were taken at each station and at all remote (“infinite”) current locations.

The survey was performed by Scott Geophysics Ltd. on behalf of Metalogic Exploration Inc. This report describes the instrumentation and procedures, and presents the results of the survey.

2. SURVEY COVERAGE AND PROCEDURES

The pole-dipole array was used. Readings were taken with an “a” spacing of 100 metres and at “n” separations of 1 to 6. The on line current electrode was located to the west of the potential electrodes on L12800N and to the west and south of the potential electrodes on all other lines.

Total field magnetometer readings were taken at 12.5 metre intervals and corrected for diurnal variation against a fixed base station cycling at 10 second intervals.

GPS readings were taken at each station subject to satellite reception. Elevation measurements are barometric altimeter readings, calibrated to GPS altitude at the beginning of each line.

A total of 39.4 kilometres of IP and 39.2 kilometres of magnetometer survey were performed.

The chargeability and resistivity results are presented on the accompanying pseudosections and plans. The magnetometer survey results are presented on the accompanying profiles and plans. All survey data are archived to the accompanying CD-ROM.
3. PERSONNEL

Esteban Zaragoza was the crew chief on the survey on behalf of Scott Geophysics Ltd. Dave Bailey was the representative on behalf of Metalogic Exploration Inc.

4. INSTRUMENTATION

A GDD GRx8 receiver and a 5000 watt GDD TxII transmitter were used for the IP survey. Readings were taken in the time domain using a 2 second on/2 second off alternating square wave. The chargeability values plotted on the accompanying pseudosections and plan maps are for the interval 690 to 1050 msec after shutoff.

Scintrex ENVI proton precession magnetometers were used for both field and base units for the magnetometer survey.

GPS readings were taken with a Garmin GPSMap 60CSx GPS receiver.

Respectfully Submitted,

Brad Scott, Geologist (GIT)
Statement of Qualifications

for

Brad Scott, Geologist (GIT)

of

1230 Harrison Way,
Gabriola, B.C.  V0R 1X2

I, Brad Scott, hereby certify the following statements regarding my qualifications and involvement in the program of work on behalf of Metalogic Exploration Inc. at the Lemon Lake Project, Horsefly area, B.C. as presented in this report December 14, 2011.

The work was performed by individuals trained and qualified for its performance.

I have no material interest in the property under consideration in this report.

I graduated from the University of British Columbia with a Bachelor of Science degree (Geology) in 2000.

I am a member-in-training of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of the Province of British Columbia.

I have been practising my profession in the field of Mineral Exploration since 2000.

Respectfully submitted,

Brad Scott
Scott Geophysics Ltd.
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RES2DINV inverted chargeability data
Lemon Lake Project, Horsefly Area, B.C.

Survey Specifications
Survey performed: October-November 2011
Receiver: GDD GRx8
Transmitter: GDD TxII
Pulse time: 2 sec
Min receive window: 690-1050 msec
Array: pole-dipole
Spacing, n separations:
\( a = 100 \text{ m}, n = 1-6 \)
Current electrode west, south of potential electrodes
RES2DINV inverted data
Grid coordinates: WGS84 UTM

FIGURE 8
Survey performed: October-November 2011
Receiver: GDD GRx8
Transmitter: GDD TxII
Pulse time: 2 sec
MS receive window: 880-1050 msec
Array: pole-dipole
spacing, n separations:
a = 100m, n = 1-6
Current electrode west, south of potential electrodes
RES2DINV inverted data
Grid coordinates: WGS84 UTM

Lemon Lake Project, Horsefly Area, B.C.

FIGURE 10
Survey Specifications:
Survey performed: October-November 2011
Receiver: GDD GRx8
Transmitter: GDD TxII
Pulse time: 2 sec
MS receive window: 690-1050 msec
Array: pole-dipole
Spacing, n separations:
a = 100m, n = 1-6
Current electrode west, south of potential electrodes
RES2DINV inverted data
Grid coordinates: WGS84 UTM

FIGURE 11
Scott Geophysics Ltd.

Drawn by: B Scott Date: December 2011

RES2DINV inverted chargeability data
250m depth plan

Lemon Lake Project, Horsefly Area, B.C.

Survey Specifications
Survey performed: October-November 2011
Receiver: GDD GRx8
Transmitter: GDD TxII
Pulse time: 2 sec
Rx receive window: 650-1050 msec
Array: pole-dipole
Spacing, n separations:
\( a = 100 \text{m}, n = 1-6 \)
Current electrode west, south of potential electrodes
RES2DINV inverted data
Grid coordinates: WGS84 UTM

FIGURE 12
Survey Specifications:
Survey performed: October-November 2011
Receiver: GDD GRx8
Transmitter: GDD TxII
Pulse time: 2 sec
Mx receive window: 650-1050 msec
Array: pole-dipole
Spacing, n separations:
a = 100m, n = 1-6
Current electrode west, south of potential electrodes
RES2DINV inverted data
Grid coordinates: WGS84 UTM

Metalogic Exploration Inc.
Lemon Lake Project, Horselly Area, B.C.

RES2DINV inverted resistivity data
250m depth plan

FIGURE 13
Survey Specifications
Survey performed: October-November 2011
Survey magnetometer: Scintrex ENVI proton precession
Base magnetometer: Scintrex ENVI proton precession
Measurement: total field
Data interval: 12.5 metres
Diurnal corrections: base station
Plot coordinates: WGS84 UTM

Scott Geophysics Ltd.
Drawn by: B Scott Date: December 2011

Metalogic Exploration Inc.
Lemon Lake Project, Horseshy Area, B.C.
Total Field Magnetometer Survey
Contour Plan

FIGURE 14