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1 Introduction - Synopsis

The Mount Thomlinson property is located in north-central British Columbia, approximately 38 kilometres northeast of the town of Hazelton. Hazelton lies on Highway 16, which is the primary route to the deep-water seaports of Prince Rupert, Kitimat and Stewart. The property consists of five contiguous mineral claims covering an area of 2,468.0 hectares, and title is currently held by MolyStar Resources Inc. (MolyStar) of Vancouver, British Columbia. MolyStar Resources Inc. acquired the property in 2006 with the object of confirming the mineral resource to NI43-101 standards and possibly expanding the resource. MolyStar recently sold the property to MolyCANA Resources, a subsidiary of Hi Ho Silver Resources.

Past work has focused on the Mo-Cu mineralized zone which has outcrops over a strike length of approximately 800 metres. Mineralization consists of stockwork quartz veining containing molybdenite with minor chalcopyrite. Mo-Cu mineralization occurs in the western contact area of an Eocene quartz monzonite porphyry stock which intrudes Middle Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous Bowser Lake Group argillaceous sedimentary rocks. Minor pyrite is present in the deposit and is abundant in the hornfels zone in the structural hangingwall of the deposit and host intrusive body. The deposit is approximately 900 metres long, 80 metres true (horizontal) width and has been traced to a depth of 450 metres.

During the early 1960’s and in the early 1980’s major exploration programs were carried out by Buttle Lake Resources, Southwest Potash Corporation (AMAX), and Texasgulf Canada Limited (Texasgulf). The author served as the project geologist on the 1965 AMAX drill program and over the summer logged the diamond drill core and mapped the showing and adjacent area at a scale of 1:6,000. Unfortunately none of the AMAX company reports are currently available to the author. However Texasgulf had copies of the AMAX data when they conducted their exploration on the property in 1980 and 1981, and the data presented here are derived from their reports. The only documented drill intersection from the AMAX and Texasgulf drill programs is Texasgulf hole T-2-81 which intersected 357 metres (true thickness 78.8 metres) of 0.115% MoS$_2$ (0.069% Mo) and 0.11 % Cu. Hole T-2-81 was stopped in the argillite at 769.3 metres (DeLancey, 1982).

Exploration work in the early 1960’s by Southwest Potash Corporation (AMAX) outlined a significant resource of molybdenum mineralization referred to as a “submarginal measured and indicated reserve” consisting of “40.82 million tonnes grading 0.12% MoS$_2$” (Soregaroli and Sutherland Brown, 1976). While the data utilized to calculate this resource is grossly insufficient to define even an inferred resource under today’s NI 43-101 criteria, the author believes it does represent a reasonable approximation of the grade potential of the Mount Thomlinson molybdenum deposit. The Texasgulf data were not utilized in the AMAX resource estimate. The AMAX information is not documented by assay certificates. Further, it is not known what minimum cut-off grades were used to calculate the AMAX resource estimates, nor the metreage of the mineralized intersections. Nonetheless, the data are invaluable in providing information regarding the potential of the molybdenum mineralization on the Mount Thomlinson property. While the author believes that the techniques utilized by
AMAX have generated results that would be upheld using current assaying, sampling and drilling techniques, the density of drill information is insufficient to meet the current criteria necessary to calculate a mineral resource compliant with NI 43-101. Cautionary Note: Investors are cautioned that at this time, there has been insufficient exploration to define a mineral resource – further, it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the discovery of a mineral resource. In the author’s professional opinion, the property discussed in this report is of merit, and thus it is recommended that further exploration work be undertaken, as outlined in this report.

On 29 November, 2007, Fugro Airborne Surveys Corp. completed an airborne magnetometer survey over the property for MolyStar Resources Inc. The Fugro report, which is appended herein, did not provide the required historical, geological or tenure information. Further, the information was plotted on an obsolete topographic map on NAD 27 datum. The above shortcomings have been rectified in the current report. The author has also provided geological interpretation based on the airborne survey – this information is presented in Sections 8 (Present Work) and 9 (Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations) of this report.

Based on the results of the past exploration, follow-up work is clearly warranted on the property. An initial drill program of 2,500 metres (approximately 10 holes averaging 250 metres) is recommended for 2012. The program would by necessity be helicopter supported – drilling and blasting will be required to prepare 3 drill sites. Part of the program should include re-furbishing and re-sampling the trenches. Total cost is estimated at $1,100,000.00. In this report, the author has provided geological interpretation based on the airborne survey in Sections 8 (Present Work) and 9 (Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations).

2 Location and Access

The Mount Thomlinson Property is located at the north end of the Babine Mountain Range, 48 kilometres north of Hazelton (Figure 1). The property is centred on Latitude 55º 35’ N and Longitude 127º 29’ W and is located on NTS map sheets 093M10 and 093M11. The mineral showings outcrop at an elevation of approximately 1,850 metres on a steep east-facing slope along a north trending ridge 4.5 kilometres north of the peak of Mount Thomlinson.

The abandoned First Nations village of Kisgegas is located on the Babine River near its confluence with the Skeena River, 11 kilometres northwest of the property.

Access to the property is by helicopter which can be chartered from several companies based in Smithers, 125 kilometres to the south. The closest road is an all weather gravel road located 12 km northwest of the property. Equipment and supplies can be flown to the campsite and drill sites from the road.
3 Claim Status

The Mount Thomlinson property comprises five contiguous mineral claims covering an area of approximately 2,468 hectares. The property is 100% owned by MolyStar Resources Inc. However the property was recently purchased by MolyCANA Resources, a subsidiary of Hi Ho Silver Resources (CNSX - HHS). MolyCANA is located at:

1111 West Hastings Street
Suite 575
Vancouver B.C.
V6E 2J3
604-250-7360

Table 1 (below) lists the Mount Thomlinson mineral claims:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure #</th>
<th>Claim Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Good To Date</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>515331</td>
<td>THOM 1</td>
<td>202535 (100%)</td>
<td>2005/jun/27</td>
<td>2012/dec/17</td>
<td>987.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515407</td>
<td>THOM 2</td>
<td>202535 (100%)</td>
<td>2005/jun/27</td>
<td>2012/dec/17</td>
<td>493.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518575</td>
<td>THOM 3</td>
<td>202535 (100%)</td>
<td>2005/aug/13</td>
<td>2012/dec/17</td>
<td>438.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518998</td>
<td>THOM 4</td>
<td>202535 (100%)</td>
<td>2005/aug/13</td>
<td>2012/dec/17</td>
<td>365.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2467.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Physiography and Vegetation

The area is characterized by isolated peaks separated by broad wooded valleys. The timber line is about 1,300 metres elevation or 500 metres below the surface mineral zones. Peaks above 2,000 metres are surrounded by glaciers and snowfields. The mountain slopes are steep and generally covered by rock talus.

The region has a cool temperate climate with moderate snowfall, with the mountainous areas generally covered with snow until mid-June. The exploration season lasts from mid-June to late September. Prospecting and geological mapping are best undertaken in late August when there are no residual snow patches.
5 Past Exploration Work

Exploration of the Mount Thomlinson property has been carried out by several operators including: Buttle Lake Resources, Southwest Potash Corp. (a subsidiary of AMAX) and Texasgulf. The main periods of exploration occurred from 1963 to 1965 and 1980 to 1981.

Exploration programs carried out to date include geological mapping, prospecting, topographic surveying, rock sampling, blast-trenching, and diamond drilling. To the author’s knowledge, there have been no grid-based soil geochemical or geophysical surveys carried out over the property area.

5.1 1962 to 1965 Programs

Information for the 1962 to 1965 work programs was obtained second-hand from the history section of a Texasgulf assessment report (DeLancey, 1980). The author has been unable to locate the primary references.

The area was originally staked in 1962 by three prospectors (Neil Sterritt, Ward Marshall, and Harry Simpson) from Hazelton and optioned to Buttle Lake Mining (later Stampede International Resources Ltd). In 1963 the property was mapped, trenched, and sampled by Buttle Lake Resources. In August of 1963, Southwest Potash Corporation optioned the property. Loudon (1963) spent nine days on the property, produced a map, and recommended the option (DeLancey, 1980).

In 1964 and 1965, Southwest Potash Corporation conducted programs of geological mapping, surveying, geochemistry, and drilled nine BQ diamond drill holes totalling 2,459 metres (Figure 3). The core was not assayed for copper, gold or rhenium. The property was subsequently allowed to lapse and was re-staked by AMAX in 1975, which had changed its name from Southwest Potash Corporation (DeLancey, 1980).

Table 2 - AMAX Diamond Drill Data (1964, 1965)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>dip</th>
<th>Az.</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64-1</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-2</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-3</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-4</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-5</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-6</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-7</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-8</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-9</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 1975 the Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy published a table featuring “Significant Undeveloped Molybdenum Bearing Deposits of the Canadian Cordillera”. One line on that table indicated that AMAX had reported measured, indicated and inferred and submarginal reserves of 40.82 million tonnes grading 0.12% MoS$_2$ (Soregaroli and Sutherland Brown, 1976). The author is unaware of the methodology utilized to derive these “reserves”. These “reserves” must therefore be considered unreliable by current standards. The reader is also cautioned on the validity of the “mineral reserve” numbers. Under current NI 43-101 guidelines the nine drill holes and five surface trenches are neither of sufficient density nor sufficient distribution to define a mineral resource. Therefore, none of the numbers published with respect to the amount of MoS$_2$ or tonnes of “ore” at Mount Thomlinson can be relied upon. They are presented here as information on the historical work on the property.

The data generated by AMAX would have been of the highest quality by the standards at the time it was undertaken. At the time AMAX was the world’s largest producer of molybdenum and was the most experienced company in that commodity. The author as an employee of AMAX was present throughout the 1965 program, during which time he logged the 1965 drill core and mapped the property at a scale of 1:6,000.

### 5.2 1979 Program

In 1979 the property was restaked as the Molly Tom claims by John Bot, an independent prospector from Smithers. Mr. Bot optioned the property to Texasgulf. On May 16, 1979, Mr. DeLancey examined the property in preparation for a drill program planned for 1980 (DeLancey, 1980).

### 5.3 1980, 1981 Programs

Work performed by Texasgulf in 1980 included construction of a camp and drill site and diamond drilling of one NQ drill hole (T-1-80). The hole was abandoned at 213 metres, about 500 metres short of the projected target depth because of difficult ground conditions. The diamond drill hole intersected strongly fractured Bowser Lake Group argillite/shale with sparse quartz, calcite veinlets and finely disseminated pyrite (DeLancey, 1980).

In 1981, Texasgulf drilled four NQ diamond drill holes totalling 1,632.3 metres from a single common set-up location (Figure 3).

Due to difficult ground conditions, only 2 of the 5 holes drilled by Texasgulf reached their target depths. Diamond drill hole T-2-81 was collared at -45° and intersected 357 metres of 0.115% MoS$_2$ and 0.11% Cu, and was mineralized to the end of the hole at 769.3 metres. Drill holes T-1-80, T-1-81 and T-3-81 did not reach target depths, thus were not sampled and assayed. The drill records indicate that drill hole T-4-81 received only sporadic sampling, with the interval from 570 metres to 591 metres (21m) assaying 0.0265% MoS$_2$ (DeLancey, 1980). Texasgulf did not analyze the core samples for gold or rhenium.
Table 3 - Texasgulf Diamond Drill Data (1980, 1981)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>dip</th>
<th>Az.</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-1-80</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>213.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-1-81</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>173.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-2-81</td>
<td>-44.5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>769.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3-81</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-4-81</td>
<td>-52.5</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>601.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 1993 to 2007

In 1993, Discovery Consultants re-sampled surface showings and selected core samples for assay. Core re-sampling was carried out on drill hole T-2-81 at 10 metre intervals from 610 metres to the end of the hole at 769.3 metres (a total of 17 samples). Within this interval, 3 samples assayed between 485 ppm Mo and 580 ppm Mo and four samples assayed between 1,022 ppm Mo and 7,272 ppm Mo. Five samples within this interval assayed between 1,094 ppm Cu and 3,417 ppm Cu. Seven samples from drill hole T-1-80 returned negligible results. The rock-sampling program consisted of 30 samples taken from three lines. Of these, 8 samples returned Mo values between 500 and 1,000 ppm, while 3 samples exceeded 1,000 ppm Mo to a maximum value of 1,575 ppm Mo. The surface rock sampling generally returned Cu values of less than 400 ppm (Carpenter, 1994).

In 2004, Cadre Capital Inc. (Cadre) staked the area. In September 2005, Cadre collected 33 rock samples in four different areas (Thomson, 2006; Thomson and Strickland, 2007). Cadre subsequently sold the property to MolyStar Resources Inc.

In 2007, MolyStar moved the core from the campsite on Mount Thomlinson to a warehouse in Smithers. The core was re-logged and re-sampled, with 272 samples selected from Texasgulf hole T-2-81. Of these, 149 were quartered samples from the previously split Texasgulf work and 126 samples were split from previously unsplit core. MolyStar did not analyze the core samples for rhenium. No significant new zones of molybdenum mineralization were encountered. The MoS$_2$ and Cu assays from the MolyStar work correlated well with the earlier Texasgulf assays (LaPeare, Brett R, 2007).

In 2010, R.H. McMillan visited the property and selected three “grab” samples for assay (McMillan, 2010).
6 Property Geology

The Mount Thomlinson Property is located in the Intermontane Tectonic Belt, at the southeast margin of the Bowser Basin, a large successor basin underlain mainly by clastic sedimentary rocks of the Jurassic to Cretaceous Bowser Lake Group (Carter, 1976). The Bowser Lake Group sedimentary rocks have been intruded by a northwest-trending series of granodiorite and quartz monzonite stocks called the Bulkley and Babine Intrusions which are Cretaceous and early Tertiary in age. Carter (1976) dated the Bulkley Intrusions by the potassium-argon method at between 70 and 84 Ma. More recently Richards (1990) presented a potassium-argon date for the Mount Thomlinson stock of 54 Ma, utilizing biotite. The Bulkley and Babine Intrusions are host to several important molybdenum deposits, among them the Hudsons Bay Mountain (Glacier Gulch) and Mount Thomlinson deposits.

The Mount Thomlinson Mo-Cu deposit is hosted by a roughly circular stock approximately 1400 metres in diameter. The stock is composed of pale buff to light pinkish white leucocratic quartz monzonite porphyry of the Eocene Babine Intrusions. Stock contacts are sharp and biotite, muscovite, cordierite and andalusite have been formed in the contact aureole. The margins of the stock are foliated parallel to the contact and to the schistosity in the intruded rocks up to 100 metres from the contact. Coarse K-feldspar phenocrysts are characteristic in the core of the stock but are less abundant and smaller in the foliated contact zone. The core of the stock contains 1-3% coarse, zoned K-feldspar phenocrysts, which range up to over 5 cm. Quartz and plagioclase phenocrysts range up to 1.25 cm in diameter. The quartz monzonite porphyry is made up of 40-50% plagioclase and 10-25% K-feldspar, quartz and minor accessory mafic minerals being the other constituent minerals. In many areas, the stock is cut by narrow (2-10 cm) aplite dikes. These dikes occur in swarms and occupy well-defined fractures and are generally restricted to the stock itself.

The layered rocks exposed on the property are clastic sedimentary rocks of the Bowser Lake Group. Although no attempt has been made to establish any stratigraphy within the Bowser Lake Group in the Mount Thomlinson area, in the Goathead Creek area 12 km north of the area, Bending (1981 and 1982) recognized four distinct assemblages. A lower section of argillite and siltstone is overlain by a 50 m thick section of interbedded argillites and greywacke. This unit is in turn overlain by an interval characterized by locally calcareous argillites with 1-2 m thick limestone interlayers. The limestone unit is characterized by pelecypod fossils. The uppermost unit is massive chert pebble conglomerate which caps many of the local peaks.
7 Mineralization, Alteration and Veining

7.1 General Comments on Molybdenum Deposits

Porphyry molybdenum deposits are typically related to complex, multiple intrusive events and in the Cordillera are associated with intrusive events of several ages. Many deposits are hosted by cylindrical stocks that are less than 500 m in diameter. Others such as Quartz Hill in Alaska are related to larger epizonal areas. Others (Endako, Adanac and Brenda) are genetically related to the youngest phases of batholiths or large stocks (Mount Tolman). Mineralization at Endako and Adanac is genetically linked to epizonal granites and related rocks that are the youngest phases of the Topley and Surprise Lake batholiths respectively. In contrast, the 102 Ma quartz monzonite that hosts the Boss Mountain deposit intrudes an unrelated 187 Ma granodiorite batholith. Other deposits, like Kitsault and other deposits in the Alice Arm Mo district, are genetically associated with the 54 Ma to 48 Ma Alice Arm intrusive suite. These generally occur in small quartz monzonite stocks with histories of multiple intrusive events.

Molybdenite mineralization generally forms stockworks that are elliptical to crescentic in plan, and may be tabular and flat-lying in section (e.g. Quartz Hill). Mineralization occurs in fractures and quartz veins in the genetically related intrusions, but can extend into hornfelsed country rocks. Alaskite dikes and intermineral intrusive breccias may accompany the mineralization (e.g. Boss Mountain). Molybdenum mineralization is typically polyphase and possibly related to episodic doming (e.g. Endako). Molybdenite is typically deposited in quartz veins and veinlets during either potassium feldspar or biotite alteration. Silicification is a universal associated alteration. At Endako, some molybdenite mineralization apparently accompanied argillic alteration. Phyllic alteration is peripheral to some deposits, with propylitic alteration at the periphery of the system.

While molybdenite is generally the only ore mineral, some deposits have potentially recoverable copper sulphides, scheelite and/or wolframite. Brenda Mine produced both molybdenum and copper. Mount Tolman and Mount Thomlinson are potential producers of both molybdenum and copper. Other minerals that may be present in or adjacent to molybdenum deposits are bismuth minerals, galena and sphalerite. Pyrite is common within, as well as adjacent to mineralized zones – the pyrite halo commonly results in a strong chargeability anomaly in an induced polarization survey. Minor magnetite can be present. Common gangue minerals are quartz, carbonate, sericite, biotite, chlorite, fluorite, gypsum, epidote and hornblende (McMillan, 1995).

In British Columbia, porphyry molybdenum deposits are post-accretion in timing. The deposits are widely distributed in the Cordillera and overlap in time and space with porphyry Cu-Mo-Au deposits. Metallogenic episodes are recognized at about 140 Ma, 110-100 Ma, 80-60 Ma and 50 Ma (related to Alice Arm intrusions to which Mount Thomlinson deposit is possibly correlated). Local metallogenic episodes occurred at 54-48 Ma and 8 Ma. One of the oldest, Endako is dated at 138 Ma, the youngest, Salal Creek at 8 Ma. Endako has been the major producing mine in the province, operating since 1965. The other significant producer was Boss Mountain, dated at about 100 Ma, and which produced intermittently until 1983.
7.2 The Mount Thomlinson Molybdenum Deposit

Molybdenite, chalcopyrite and pyrite are associated with a system of quartz vein stockworks within the Mount Thomlinson plug, along the contact zone with hornfelsed Bowser Lake Group pelitic rocks. The adjacent sedimentary rocks are strongly hornfelsed and contain abundant pyrite and pyrrhotite, but only minor amounts of Mo-Cu mineralization. The quartz stockwork is best developed along the stock contact and post-dates the aplite dikes. The mineralized zone trends north-northeast (020°) along the margin of the stock, dipping 65° west. It is tabular, up to 100 m wide and has been traced more than 800 m in strike length. The zone becomes complex and less well defined at the northeast end, where narrow sections of mineralized rock are separated by barren rock (DeLancey 1980, 1982). The only published mineralized intersection from the AMAX and Texasgulf diamond drill programs is from Texasgulf hole “T-2-81 collared at -45° intersected 357 m (true thickness 78.8 m) of 0.115% MoS₂ (0.069% Mo) and 0.11 % Cu and was stopped in the argillites at 769.3 m” (DeLancey, 1982).

The molybdenite is most common as fine flakes in quartz veinlets and as smears along fracture planes. Locally it occurs as coarse flakes in quartz veins. Chalcopyrite, malachite and azurite also occur along fractures and veins. Although chalcopyrite is found in the same general areas as molybdenite, the two sulphides occur independently of each other. Pyrite (1-5%) is found as disseminations, fracture-fillings and patchy crystalline concentrations in the intrusive and adjacent argillites. Minor amounts of magnetite, scheelite and pyrrhotite are also present. The better grade mineralization is located several metres from the contact within the intrusive rock and MoS₂ grades generally drop off sharply at the contact. There has been some minor surface weathering of the deposit, with limonite, ferrimolybdite, malachite and to a lesser extent, azurite identified as secondary minerals.

Silicification is the most prominent alteration assemblages within and close to the mineralized zone. It is accompanied by argillic and chloritic mineral assemblages and late sericitic overprinting.
8 Present Work

The present work describes the results of a helicopter-borne stinger-mounted airborne magnetic survey utilizing a high-sensitivity cesium magnetometer. A Global Positioning (GPS) electronic navigation system was utilized to ensure accurate positioning of the survey area. The survey totalled 293 line kilometres, including 22.5 line-km of tie lines. Flight lines were flown in an azimuthal direction of 000° and 180° with a line separation of 200 metres, at an airspeed averaging 73km/hr and at an average elevation of 60 metres. The survey was flown on 29 November and 1, 5 and 7 of December 2007 by Fugro Airborne Surveys Corp. of Mississauga, Ontario. The work was processed in the Fugro Surveys Mississauga office and was presented in a technical report dated 25 July 2011. The Fugro report is appended.

Because the maps in the Fugro report were plotted on maps utilizing imperial units and now-obsolete NAD 27 co-ordinates, they have been re-compiled and presented in this report on NAD 83 co-ordinates and metric units. Total Magnetic Field is presented in Figures 5 and 7 and Calculated Vertical Magnetic Gradient in Figures 6 and 8. Geological observations are presented below in Section 9.

9 Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations

The airborne magnetic survey clearly defined the Mount Thomlinson Stock and shown that it extends west from the Mo-Cu mineralized zone under a cover of Bowser group hornfels and argillite.

Follow-up work is clearly warranted on the Mount Thomlinson property. An initial drill program of 2,500 metres (approximately 10 holes averaging 250 metres) is recommended for 2012. The program would by necessity be helicopter supported. Drilling and blasting will be required to prepare 3 drill sites. Part of the program should include re-furbishing and re-sampling the trenches. Total cost is estimated to be $1,100,000.00.

Assays for MoS₂, Cu, W, Au and Re (rhenium) should be undertaken in future programs.
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15 December 2011
### 13a Statement of Expenditures (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>HST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FUGRO processing cost</td>
<td>3,571.44</td>
<td>428.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUGRO payment of 176,294 Hi Ho Silver shares @$0.05</td>
<td>8,814.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report writing - R. McMillan 4 days @ $ 1000.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drafting – MF Geographix</td>
<td>1,425.00</td>
<td>171.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copying - J Grabavac</td>
<td>145.00</td>
<td>17.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,956.14</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,096.96</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 13b Statement of Expenditures Eligible for PAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>HST or GST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FUGRO invoice 071028</td>
<td>.89 x 63,000.00</td>
<td>.89 x 3,780.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUGRO invoice 07113-2</td>
<td>.89 x 17,500.00</td>
<td>.89 x 430.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUGRO invoice 07113-3</td>
<td>.89 x 12,701.50</td>
<td>.89 x 762.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUGRO invoice 080403</td>
<td>.89 x 7,000.00</td>
<td>.89 x 350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report writing - R. McMillan 4 days @ $ 1000.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drafting – MF Geographix</td>
<td>1,425.00</td>
<td>171.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copying - J Grabavac</td>
<td>145.00</td>
<td>17.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>94,749.33</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,405.51</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less - Amount claimed under Appendix 2a  
(17,956.14)

Net Amount claimed for PAC  
76,793.19
Figure 1. Location of the Mount Thomlinson Property
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SUMMARY

This report describes the logistics, data acquisition, processing and presentation of results of a stinger-mounted Magnetic airborne geophysical survey carried out for Molystar Resources Inc. over Kisgegas and Mount Thomlinson property in the province of British Colombia, Canada. Total coverage of the survey blocks amounted to 328.8 km. The survey was flown on November 29, December 1st, 5th and 7th, 2007.

The purpose of the survey was to detect zones of mineralization and to provide information that could be used to map the geology and structure of the survey area. This was accomplished by using a high sensitivity cesium magnetometer. The information from this sensor was processed to produce maps that display the magnetic property of the survey area. A GPS electronic navigation system ensured accurate positioning of the geophysical data with respect to the base maps.

The survey data were processed and compiled in the Fugro Airborne Surveys Toronto office. Map products and digital data were provided in accordance with the scales and formats specified in the Survey Agreement.

The survey property contains several anomalous features, some of which are considered to be possible exploration targets. Areas of interest may be assigned priorities on the basis of supporting geophysical, geochemical and/or geological information. After initial investigations have been carried out, it may be necessary to re-evaluate the remaining anomalies based on information acquired from the follow-up program.
APPENDICES
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C. Data Archive Description
D. Glossary
1. INTRODUCTION

A stinger-mounted magnetic and radiometric survey was flown for Molystar Resources Inc., on November 29, December 1st, 5th and 7th, 2007, over Kisgegas and Mount Thomlinson property in the province of British Columbia, Canada. The survey area is shown in Figure 2.

Survey coverage consisted of approximately 328.8 line-km, including 28.8 line-km of tie lines. Flight lines were flown in an azimuthal direction of 0°/180° with a line separation of 200 metres. Tie lines were flown orthogonal to the traverse lines with a line separation of 1700 or 2000 metres.

The survey employed the stinger-mounted magnetic system. Ancillary equipment consisted of radar altimeter, a video camera, a digital recorder, and an electronic navigation system. The instrumentation was installed in an AS350B2 turbine helicopter (Registration C-GYFS) that was provided by Great Slave Helicopters. The helicopter flew at an average airspeed of 73km/h with a nominal terrain clearance of 60 metres.
Figure 1: Fugro Airborne Surveys Stinger with AS350-B2
2. SURVEY OPERATIONS

The base of operations for the survey was established at Smithers, British Columbia, Canada.

Table 2-1 lists the corner coordinates of the survey areas in WGS1984, UTM Zone 9N, central meridian 129° W.

Table 2-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Corners</th>
<th>X-UTM (E)</th>
<th>Y-UTM (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07113-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>596751</td>
<td>6180709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>599888</td>
<td>6180780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>599931</td>
<td>6178925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>596793</td>
<td>6178854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07113-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>591613</td>
<td>613898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>596730</td>
<td>6164009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>596720</td>
<td>6164473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>597901</td>
<td>6164499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>597890</td>
<td>6164962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>598284</td>
<td>6164971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>598263</td>
<td>6165899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>599443</td>
<td>6165925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>599454</td>
<td>6165462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>599847</td>
<td>6165471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>599879</td>
<td>6164080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>598698</td>
<td>6164053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>598709</td>
<td>6163589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>597528</td>
<td>6163563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>597538</td>
<td>6163099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>597144</td>
<td>6163090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>597279</td>
<td>6157063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>592941</td>
<td>6156968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>592873</td>
<td>6160214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>591691</td>
<td>6160189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07113-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>593954</td>
<td>6162445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>596301</td>
<td>6162504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>596348</td>
<td>6160106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>593983</td>
<td>6160064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2
Survey Location Map and Sheet Layout
Kisgegas and Mount Thomlinson Property, British Columbia, Canada
Job # 07113
The survey specifications were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kisgegas:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse line direction</td>
<td>0°/180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse line spacing</td>
<td>200 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie line direction</td>
<td>90°/270°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie line spacing</td>
<td>1700 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomlinson:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse line direction</td>
<td>0°/180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse line spacing</td>
<td>200 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie line direction</td>
<td>90°/270°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie line spacing</td>
<td>2000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse line direction (Infills)</td>
<td>0°/180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse line spacing (Infills)</td>
<td>80 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mag sample interval</td>
<td>10 Hz, 2.1 m @ 75 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft mean terrain clearance</td>
<td>60 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mag sensor mean terrain clearance</td>
<td>60 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average speed</td>
<td>73 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation (guidance)</td>
<td>±5 m, Real-time GPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-survey flight path</td>
<td>±1 m, Differential GPS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. SURVEY EQUIPMENT

This section provides a brief description of the geophysical instruments used to acquire the survey data and the calibration procedures employed. The geophysical equipment was installed in an AS350B2 helicopter. This aircraft provides a safe and efficient platform for surveys of this type.

**Airborne Magnetometer**

Model: Fugro D1344 processor with Scintrex CS3 sensor.
Type: Optically pumped cesium vapour.
Sensitivity: 0.01 nT
Sample rate: 10 per second

The magnetometer sensor is housed in a stinger mounted on the helicopter.

**Magnetic Base Station**

Model: Fugro CF1 base station with timing provided by integrated GPS
Sensor type: Scintrex CS-3 Optically pumped cesium vapour.
Counter specifications: Accuracy: ±0.1 nT, Resolution: 0.01 nT, Sample rate: 1 Hz
GPS specifications: Model: Marconi Allstar, Type: Code and carrier tracking of L1 band, 12-channel, C/A code at 1575.42 MHz
Sensitivity: -90 dBm, 1.0 second update
Accuracy: Manufacturer’s stated accuracy for differential corrected GPS is 2 metres

A digital recorder is operated in conjunction with the base station magnetometer to record the diurnal variations of the earth’s magnetic field. The clock of the base station is synchronized with that of the airborne system, using GPS time, to permit subsequent removal of diurnal drift. The location of the base station set-up in WGS84 geographic coordinates was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Height (above ellipsoid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smithers, BC, Canada</td>
<td>November 20th 2007</td>
<td>54° 49' 10.67166&quot; N</td>
<td>127° 11' 33.16058&quot; W</td>
<td>516 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A GEM Systems GSM-19 proton precession magnetometer (part of the CF-1 base station) was used as a back-up unit.

**Navigation (Global Positioning System)**

**Airborne Receiver for Real-time Navigation & Guidance**

Model: Novatel OEM4
Type: Code and carrier tracking of L1-C/A code at 1575.42 MHz, 12-channel
Sample rate: 10 Hz update, 2Hz recording
Accuracy: Manufacturer’s stated accuracy for differential corrected GPS is better than 1 metre.
Antenna: Aero AT1675; Mounted on tail of aircraft.
**Primary Base Station for Post-Survey Differential Correction**

Model: Novatel OEM4

Type: Code and carrier tracking of L1-C/A code at 1575.42 MHz, 12-channel

Sample rate: 10 Hz update. 2Hz recording

Accuracy: Manufacturer’s stated accuracy for differential corrected GPS is better than 1 metre.

**Secondary GPS Base Station**

Model: Marconi Allstar OEM, CMT-1200

Type: Code and carrier tracking of L1 band, 12-channel, C/A code at 1575.42 MHz

Sensitivity: -90 dBm, 1.0 second update

Accuracy: Manufacturer’s stated accuracy for differential corrected GPS is 2 metres.

The Novatel OEM4 captured the airborne positional data which were post-processed using the base station GPS to provide differentially corrected positional data. The Novatel OEM4 is operated as the primary base station and utilizes time-coded signals from at least four of the twenty-four NAVSTAR satellites. The base station raw XYZ data are recorded, thereby permitting post-survey processing for theoretical accuracies of better than 1 m. The Novatel OEM4 receiver was coupled with a PNAV navigation system for real-time guidance. A Marconi Allstar GPS unit, part of the CF-1, was used as a secondary base station.
The location of the GPS base station set-up in WGS84 geographic coordinates was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Height (above ellipsoid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote Site, BC, Canada</td>
<td>November 29\textsuperscript{th}, 2007</td>
<td>$55° 41’ 40.51977” S</td>
<td>$127° 39’ 34.98477” W</td>
<td>398 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Radar Altimeter**

Manufacturer: Terra

Model: TRA3000 / TRI30

Type: Short pulse modulation, 4.3 GHz

Sensitivity: 1.5 m

Sample rate: 2 per second

The radar altimeter measures the vertical distance between the helicopter and the ground, except in areas of dense tree cover.

**Digital Data Acquisition System**

Manufacturer: Fugro

Model: HeliDAS

Recorder: Compact Flash Card (PCMCIA)
The stored data are downloaded to the field workstation PC at the survey base, for verification, backup and preparation of in-field products.

**Compensation System**

Manufacturer: Fugro

Model: HeliDAS, with Billingsley TFM100G2-1E fluxgate magnetometer

The presence of the helicopter in close proximity to the sensors causes considerable deviations on the readings. The orientation of the aircraft with respect to the sensors and the motion of the aircraft through the earth’s magnetic field are contributing factors. A special calibration flight is flown to record the information necessary to remove these effects.

The manoeuvre consists of flying a series of calibration lines at high altitude to gain information in each of the required line directions. During this procedure, the pitch, roll and yaw of the aircraft are varied. Each variation is conducted in succession (first vary pitch, then roll, then yaw). This provides a complete picture of the effects of the aircraft at designated headings in all orientations.

The HeliDAS compensation system derives a set of coefficients for each line direction and for each magnetometer sensor. The coefficients can be applied real-time or in a post-processing environment.
Video Flight Path Recording System

Type: Axis 2420 Digital Network Camera
Recorder: Axis 241S Video Server and Fujitsu Tablet Computer
Format: NTSC

Fiducial numbers are recorded continuously and are displayed on the margin of each image. This procedure ensures accurate correlation of data with respect to visible features on the ground.
4. QUALITY CONTROL AND IN-FIELD PROCESSING

Digital data for each flight were transferred to the field workstation, in order to verify data quality and completeness. A database was created and updated using Geosoft Oasis Montaj and proprietary Fugro Atlas software. This allowed the field personnel to calculate, display and verify both the positional (flight path) and geophysical data on a screen or printer. Records were examined as a preliminary assessment of the data acquired for each flight.

In-field processing of Fugro survey data consists of differential corrections to the airborne GPS data, spike rejection and filtering of all geophysical and ancillary data, verification of flight videos, diurnal correction, and preliminary leveling of magnetic data.

All data, including base station records, were checked on a daily basis, to ensure compliance with the survey contract specifications. Reflights were required if any of the following specifications were not met.

Navigation - Positional (x,y) accuracy of better than 10 m, with a CEP (circular error of probability) of 95%.

Flight Path - No lines to exceed ±25% departure from nominal line spacing over a continuous distance of more than 1 km, except for reasons of safety.
Clearance - Mean terrain sensor clearance of 60 m, ±10 m, except where precluded by safety considerations, e.g., restricted or populated areas, severe topography, obstructions, tree canopy, aerodynamic limitations, etc.

Airborne Mag - The magnetometer noise envelope of ± 0.1 nT is exceeded intermittently over a cumulative total of 10 percent or more of any flight line or continuously over 1 kilometre or more.

Base Mag - Diurnal variations not to exceed 10 nT over a straight line time chord of 1 minute. The base station recorded magnetometer instrument noise levels are in excess of 0.5 nT for periods longer than 5 minutes or where the base station has ceased to function for periods of 5 minutes or more.
5. DATA PROCESSING

Flight Path Recovery

The raw range data from at least four satellites are simultaneously recorded by both the base and mobile GPS units. The geographic positions of both units, relative to the model ellipsoid, are calculated from this information. Differential corrections, which are obtained from the base station, are applied to the mobile unit data to provide a post-flight track of the aircraft, accurate to within 1 m. Speed checks of the flight path are also carried out to determine if there are any spikes or gaps in the data.

The corrected WGS84 Latitude/Longitude coordinates are transformed to the UTM coordinate system used on the final maps. Images or plots are then created to provide a visual check of the flight path.

Total Magnetic Field

The magnetic data were corrected to produce a final leveled total magnetic field product by the application of the following sequence of procedures:

- Data quality check on the raw and compensated magnetic data
- Lag correction
- Loading, checking and application of the measured diurnal data
- Leveling of total magnetic intensity (TMI) data
The data quality check was accomplished in the field by applying a fourth difference filter to all raw compensated magnetic data after it had been loaded into the Oasis Montaj™ database. Plotting the raw and compensated data together permitted tracking the performance of the magnetometer sensor as well as monitoring the noise levels that were superimposed on the data during survey activities. Magnetometer noise levels were maintained within stated specifications.

The aeromagnetic data from the magnetic sensor was inspected in both grid and profile format. Spikes were removed manually with the aid of a fourth difference calculation and small gaps were interpolated using an Akima spline.

A lag correction was applied to remove the effects of temporal delay inherent in the data acquisition system. A correction 1.5 seconds was applied to the data.

The diurnal variations recorded by the base station were edited for any cultural contamination and filtered to remove high-frequency noise. This diurnal magnetic data was then subtracted from the despiked, lagged TMI to provide a first order diurnal correction. The diurnal-removed magnetic field data were then gridded and compared to a grid of the despiked, lagged magnetic data to ensure that the data quality was improved by diurnal removal.

Once the lagged and diurnal-removed grids were created and examined, the results were then levelled using tie and traverse line intercepts. Manual adjustments were applied to any lines that required further leveling, as indicated by shadowed images of the gridded
magnetic data. After the application of tie-line or manual levelling, a procedure known as microleveling was applied. This technique is designed to remove any persistent, low-amplitude component of flight line noise remaining after tie-line levelling. Directional filters were then applied to the magnetic grid to produce a decorrugated “noise” grid. This grid is then re-sampled back into the database where the resultant “noise” channel was filtered to remove any remaining short wavelength responses that could be due to geologic sources. The amplitude of the “noise” channel was also limited to restrict the effect that the microleveling might have on strong geologic response. Finally, the “noise” channel is subtracted from the leveled channel created earlier in the processing sequence, resulting in the final leveled total magnetic field channel.

It should be noted that tie-line leveling does not always produce favourable results because of the significant differences in magnetic gradient at intersection points. In these instances manual and microleveling techniques can be applied to the data. There are also several areas in the dataset where the topography changed rapidly and the pilot was unable to maintain the aircraft height above ground consistently from line to line. Where these altitude differences have occurred in high gradient areas, differences in magnetic values from line to line are seen. Care has been taken to remove these problems where possible, but because the wavelength of these differences can be very short, and the amplitudes of the anomalies can be quite high, it is difficult to remove them entirely without risking the removal of real geological features of similar characteristics.
**Calculated Vertical Magnetic Gradient**

The final magnetic field data were subjected to a processing algorithm that enhances the response of magnetic bodies in the upper 500 m and attenuates the response of deeper bodies. The resulting vertical gradient map provides better definition and resolution of near-surface magnetic units. It also identifies weak magnetic features that may not be evident on the residual field map. However, regional magnetic variations and changes in lithology may be better defined on the residual magnetic field map (optional).

**Digital Elevation (Optional)**

The radar altimeter values (altrad_heli) are subtracted from the differentially corrected and de-spiked GPS-Z values (gpsz) to produce profiles of the height above the spheroid along the survey lines. These values can be gridded to produce contour maps showing approximate elevations within the survey area.

The accuracy of the elevation calculation is directly dependent on the accuracy of the two input parameters, altrad_heli and gpsz. The radar altimeter value may be erroneous in areas of heavy tree cover, where the altimeter reflects the distance to the tree canopy rather than the ground. The GPS-Z value is primarily dependent on the number of available satellites. Although post-processing of GPS data will yield X and Y accuracies in the order of 1-2 metres, the accuracy of the Z value is usually much less, sometimes
in the ±10 metre range. Further inaccuracies may be introduced during the interpolation and gridding process.

Because of the inherent inaccuracies of this method, no guarantee is made or implied that the information displayed is a true representation of the height above sea level. Although this product may be of some use as a general reference, THIS PRODUCT MUST NOT BE USED FOR NAVIGATION PURPOSES.

Contour and Colour Map Displays

The geophysical data are interpolated onto a regular grid using a modified Akima spline technique. The resulting grid is suitable for image processing and generation of contour maps. The grid cell size is 20m for the magnetic grids.

Colour maps are produced by interpolating the grid down to the pixel size. The parameter is then incremented with respect to specific amplitude ranges to provide colour "contour" maps.
6. PRODUCTS

This section lists the final maps and products that have been provided under the terms of the survey agreement. Other products can be prepared from the existing dataset, if requested. These include: magnetic enhancements or derivatives, or digital terrain.

Base Maps

Base maps of the survey area were produced by scanning published topographic maps to a bitmap (.bmp) format. This process provides a relatively accurate, distortion-free base that facilitates correlation of the navigation data to the map coordinate system. The topographic files were combined with geophysical data for plotting the final maps. All maps were created using the following parameters:

**Projection Description:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Datum</td>
<td>NAD83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipsoid</td>
<td>GRS80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projection</td>
<td>UTM (Zone: 9N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Meridian</td>
<td>129° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude of Origin</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Northing</td>
<td>0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Easting</td>
<td>500000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale Factor</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGS84 to Local Conversion</td>
<td>Molodensky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datum Shifts</td>
<td>DX: 0, DY: 0, DZ: 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Final Products**

The following parameters are presented on a single map sheet, at a scale of 1:20,000.

All maps include flight lines and topography, unless otherwise indicated. Preliminary products are not listed.

**Hardcopy Products**

2 copies: Final color maps (1:20,000)
   a) Total Magnetic Intensity (nT)
   b) Calculated Vertical Magnetic Gradient (nT/m)

2 copies: Logistics and Processing Report

**Digital Archive (see Archive Description)**

Line data archives (Magnetic and Ancillary) in Geosoft GDB format
Line data archive (Magnetics and Ancillary) in ASCII XYZ format
Grid archives in Geosoft format
Final color maps (1:20,000) in Adobe PDF formats
Logistics and Processing Report in Adobe PDF format
Digital Flight Path Video in .Bin/.BDX format
7. SURVEY RESULTS

Magnetic Data

A Fugro CF-1 cesium vapour magnetometer was operated at the survey base to record diurnal variations of the earth’s magnetic field. The clock of the base station was synchronized with that of the airborne system to permit subsequent removal of diurnal drift.

The total magnetic field data have been presented as contours on the base map using a contour interval of 5 nT where gradients permit. The map shows the magnetic properties of the rock units underlying the survey area. In this map presentation, the red colours represent rock units containing higher amounts of magnetite, while the blue colours are non-magnetic.

The total magnetic field data have also been subjected to a processing algorithm to produce maps of the calculated vertical gradient. This procedure enhances near-surface magnetic units and suppresses regional gradients. It also provides better definition and resolution of magnetic units and displays weak magnetic features that may not be clearly evident on the total field maps. On this map presentation, red colours represent the more magnetic units.

There is some evidence on the magnetic maps that suggests the survey area has been subjected to deformation and/or alteration. These structural complexities are evident on the contour maps as variations in magnetic intensity, irregular patterns, and as offsets or changes in strike direction.
If a specific magnetic intensity can be assigned to the rock type that is believed to host the target mineralization, it may be possible to select areas of higher priority on the basis of the total field magnetic data. This is based on the assumption that the magnetite content of the host rocks will give rise to a limited range of contour values that will permit differentiation of various lithological units.

The magnetic results have provided valuable information that can be used to effectively map the geology and structure in the survey area.
8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This report provides a very brief description of the survey results and describes the equipment, data processing procedures and logistics of the survey.

The various maps included with this report display the magnetic properties of the survey area. It is recommended that a complete assessment and detailed evaluation of the survey results be carried out, in conjunction with all available geophysical, geological and geochemical information.

It is also recommended that additional processing of existing geophysical data be considered, in order to extract the maximum amount of information from the survey results. Current software and imaging techniques often provide valuable information on structure and lithology, which may not be clearly evident on the images and colour maps. These techniques can yield images that define subtle, but significant, structural details.

Respectfully submitted,

FUGRO AIRBORNE SURVEYS CORP.
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LIST OF PERSONNEL

The following personnel were involved in the acquisition, processing, interpretation and presentation of data, relating to a magnetometer airborne geophysical survey carried out for Molystar Resources Inc., Kisgegas and Mount Thomlinson Property, British Columbia, Canada.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Miles</td>
<td>Manager, Geophysical Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Farquhar</td>
<td>Manager, Geophysical Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Konieczny</td>
<td>Manager, Data Processing and Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheli Droszio</td>
<td>Field Geophysicist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Harrison</td>
<td>Geophysical Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuri Mironenko</td>
<td>Geophysicist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Harrison</td>
<td>Geophysicist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tayebe Hamzeh</td>
<td>Data Processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel de Reneville</td>
<td>Pilot (GSH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Harper</td>
<td>AME(GSH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyn Vanderstarren</td>
<td>Drafting Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Pothiah</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albina Tonello</td>
<td>Secretary/Expeditor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The survey consisted of 328.8 km of coverage, flown on November 29, December 1st, 5th and 7th, 2007.
All personnel are employees of Fugro Airborne Surveys, except for the Pilot and AME who are employees of Great Slave Helicopters.
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BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Magnetic Responses

The measured total magnetic field provides information on the magnetic properties of the earth materials in the survey area. The information can be used to locate magnetic bodies of direct interest for exploration, and for structural and lithological mapping.

The total magnetic field response reflects the abundance of magnetic material in the source. Magnetite is the most common magnetic mineral. Other minerals such as ilmenite, pyrrhotite, franklinite, chromite, hematite, arsenopyrite, limonite and pyrite are also magnetic, but to a lesser extent than magnetite on average.

In some geological environments, an EM anomaly with magnetic correlation has a greater likelihood of being produced by sulphides than one which is non-magnetic. However, sulphide ore bodies may be non-magnetic (e.g., the Kidd Creek deposit near Timmins, Canada) as well as magnetic (e.g., the Mattabi deposit near Sturgeon Lake, Canada).

Iron ore deposits will be anomalously magnetic in comparison to surrounding rock due to the concentration of iron minerals such as magnetite, ilmenite and hematite.

Changes in magnetic susceptibility often allow rock units to be differentiated based on the total field magnetic response. Geophysical classifications may differ from geological classifications if various magnetite levels exist within one general geological classification. Geometric considerations of the source such as shape, dip and depth, inclination of the earth’s field and remanent magnetization will complicate such an analysis.

In general, mafic lithologies contain more magnetite and are therefore more magnetic than many sediments which tend to be weakly magnetic. Metamorphism and alteration can also increase or decrease the magnetization of a rock unit.

Textural differences on a total field magnetic contour, colour or shadow map due to the frequency of activity of the magnetic parameter resulting from inhomogeneities in the distribution of magnetite within the rock, may define certain lithologies. For example, near surface volcanics may display highly complex contour patterns with little line-to-line correlation.

Rock units may be differentiated based on the plan shapes of their total field magnetic responses. Mafic intrusive plugs can appear as isolated “bulls-eye” anomalies. Granitic intrusives appear as sub-circular zones, and may have contrasting rings due to contact metamorphism. Generally, granitic terrain will lack a pronounced strike direction, although granite gneiss may display strike.

Linear north-south units are theoretically not well-defined on total field magnetic maps in equatorial regions due to the low inclination of the earth’s magnetic field. However, most stratigraphic units will have variations in composition along strike that will cause the units to appear as a series of alternating magnetic highs and lows.
Faults and shear zones may be characterized by alteration that causes destruction of magnetite (e.g., weathering) that produces a contrast with surrounding rock. Structural breaks may be filled by magnetite-rich, fracture filling material as is the case with diabase dikes, or by non-magnetic felsic material.

Faulting can also be identified by patterns in the magnetic total field contours or colours. Faults and dikes tend to appear as lineaments and often have strike lengths of several kilometres. Offsets in narrow, magnetic, stratigraphic trends also delineate structure. Sharp contrasts in magnetic lithologies may arise due to large displacements along strike-slip or dip-slip faults.

**Gamma Ray Spectrometry**

Radioelement concentrations are measures of the abundance of radioactive elements in the rock. The original abundance of the radioelements in any rock can be altered by the subsequent processes of metamorphism and weathering.

Gamma radiation in the range that is measured in the thorium, potassium, uranium and total count windows is strongly attenuated by rock, overburden and water. Almost all of the total radiation measured from rock and overburden originates in the upper .5 metres. Moisture in soil and bodies of water will mask the radioactivity from underlying rock. Weathered rock materials that have been displaced by glacial, water or wind action will not reflect the general composition of the underlying bedrock. Where residual soils exist, they may reflect the composition of underlying rock except where equilibrium does not exist between the original radioelement and the products in its decay series.

Radioelement counts (expressed as counts per second) are the rates of detection of the gamma radiation from specific decaying particles corresponding to products in each radioelements decay series. The radiation source for uranium is bismuth (Bi-214), for thorium it is thallium (Tl-208) and for potassium it is potassium (K-40).

The uranium and thorium radioelement concentrations are dependent on a state of equilibrium between the parent and daughter products in the decay series. Some daughter products in the uranium decay are long lived and could be removed by processes such as leaching. One product in the series, radon (Rn-222), is a gas which can easily escape. Both of these factors can affect the degree to which the calculated uranium concentrations reflect the actual composition of the source rock. Because the daughter products of thorium are relatively short lived, there is more likelihood that the thorium decay series is in equilibrium.

Lithological discrimination can be based on the measured relative concentrations and total, combined, radioactivity of the radioelements. Feldspar and mica contain potassium. Zircon, sphene and apatite are accessory minerals in igneous rocks that are sources of uranium and thorium. Monazite, thorianite, thorite, uraninite and uranothorite are also sources of uranium and thorium which are found in granites and pegmatites.
In general, the abundance of uranium, thorium and potassium in igneous rock increases with acidity. Pegmatites commonly have elevated concentrations of uranium relative to thorium. Sedimentary rocks derived from igneous rocks may have characteristic signatures that are influenced by their parent rocks, but these will have been altered by subsequent weathering and alteration.

Metamorphism and alteration will cause variations in the abundance of certain radioelements relative to each other. For example, alterative processes may cause uranium enrichment to the extent that a rock will be of economic interest. Uranium anomalies are more likely to be economically significant if they consist of an increase in the uranium relative to thorium and potassium, rather than a sympathetic increase in all three radioelements.

Faults can exhibit radioactive highs due to increased permeability which allows radon migration, or as lows due to structural control of drainage and fluvial sediments which attenuate gamma radiation from the underlying rocks. Faults can also be recognized by sharp contrasts in radiometric lithologies due to large strike-slip or dip-slip displacements. Changes in relative radioelement concentrations due to alteration will also define faults.

Similar to magnetics, certain rock types can be identified by their plan shapes if they also produce a radiometric contrast with surrounding rock. For example, granite intrusions will appear as sub-circular bodies, and may display concentric zonations. They will tend to lack a prominent strike direction. Offsets of narrow, continuous, stratigraphic units with contrasting radiometric signatures can identify faulting, and folding of stratigraphic trends will also be apparent.
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ARCHIVE DESCRIPTION

These DVDs contain final data archives of an airborne survey conducted by Fugro Airborne Surveys on behalf of MOLYSTAR RESOURCES INC., KISGEGAS AND MOUNT THOMLINSON PROPERTY, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA, on November 29, December 1<sup>st</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup>, 2007.

Project #: 07113

1. LineData: Magnetometer and Auxiliary Data

2. Grids: Grids in Geosoft format for the following parameters:
   1. Total Magnetic Intensity (nT)
   2. Calculated Vertical Magnetic Gradient (nT/m)

3. Maps: Final maps at 1:20,000 in PDF format
   1. Colour TMI grid + contours + base map + flight path
   2. Colour CVG grid + contours + base map + flight path


5. Software: Software for viewing digital flight videos

6. Video: Flight Videos in BIN/BDX format

Projection Description:

Datum: NAD83
Ellipsoid: GRS80
Projection: UTM (Zone: 9N)
Central Meridian: 129° W
Latitude of Origin: 0°
False Northing: 0 m
False Easting: 500000 m
Scale Factor: 0.9996
WGS84-Local Conversion: Molodensky
Datum Shifts: DX: 0          DY: 0          DZ: 0
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APPENDIX D
GLOSSARY OF AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICAL TERMS

Note: The definitions given in this glossary refer to the common terminology as used in airborne geophysics.

**altitude attenuation**: the absorption of gamma rays by the atmosphere between the earth and the detector. The number of gamma rays detected by a system decreases as the altitude increases.

**apparent-**: the physical parameters of the earth measured by a geophysical system are normally expressed as apparent, as in “apparent resistivity”. This means that the measurement is limited by assumptions made about the geology in calculating the response measured by the geophysical system. Apparent resistivity calculated with HEM, for example, generally assumes that the earth is a homogeneous half-space – not layered.

**amplitude**: The strength of the total electromagnetic field. In frequency domain it is most often the sum of the squares of in-phase and quadrature components. In multi-component electromagnetic surveys it is generally the sum of the squares of all three directional components.

**analytic signal**: The total amplitude of all the directions of magnetic gradient. Calculated as the sum of the squares.

**anisotropy**: Having different physical parameters in different directions. This can be caused by layering or fabric in the geology. Note that a unit can be anisotropic, but still homogeneous.

**anomaly**: A localized change in the geophysical data characteristic of a discrete source, such as a conductive or magnetic body: something locally different from the background.

**B-field**: In time-domain electromagnetic surveys, the magnetic field component of the (electromagnetic) field. This can be measured directly, although more commonly it is calculated by integrating the time rate of change of the magnetic field dB/dt, as measured with a receiver coil.

**background**: The “normal” response in the geophysical data – that response observed over most of the survey area. Anomalies are usually measured relative to the background. In airborne gamma-ray spectrometric surveys the term defines the cosmic, radon, and aircraft responses in the absence of a signal from the ground.

**base-level**: The measured values in a geophysical system in the absence of any outside signal. All geophysical data are measured relative to the system base level.

**base frequency**: The frequency of the pulse repetition for a time-domain electromagnetic system. Measured between subsequent positive pulses.
bird: A common name for the pod towed beneath or behind an aircraft, carrying the geophysical sensor array.

bucking: The process of removing the strong signal from the primary field at the receiver from the data, to measure the secondary field. It can be done electronically or mathematically. This is done in frequency-domain EM, and to measure on-time in time-domain EM.

calibration coil: A wire coil of known size and dipole moment, which is used to generate a field of known amplitude and phase in the receiver, for system calibration. Calibration coils can be external, or internal to the system. Internal coils may be called Q-coils.

c coaxial coils: [CX] Coaxial coils in an HEM system are in the vertical plane, with their axes horizontal and collinear in the flight direction. These are most sensitive to vertical conductive objects in the ground, such as thin, steeply dipping conductors perpendicular to the flight direction. Coaxial coils generally give the sharpest anomalies over localized conductors. (See also coplanar coils)

coil: A multi-turn wire loop used to transmit or detect electromagnetic fields. Time varying electromagnetic fields through a coil induce a voltage proportional to the strength of the field and the rate of change over time.

compensation: Correction of airborne geophysical data for the changing effect of the aircraft. This process is generally used to correct data in fixed-wing time-domain electromagnetic surveys (where the transmitter is on the aircraft and the receiver is moving), and magnetic surveys (where the sensor is on the aircraft, turning in the earth’s magnetic field.

component: In frequency domain electromagnetic surveys this is one of the two phase measurements – in-phase or quadrature. In “multi-component” electromagnetic surveys it is also used to define the measurement in one geometric direction (vertical, horizontal in-line and horizontal transverse – the Z, X and Y components).

Compton scattering: gamma ray photons will bounce off electrons as they pass through the earth and atmosphere, reducing their energy and then being detected by radiometric sensors at lower energy levels. See also stripping.

conductance: See conductivity thickness

conductivity: The facility with which the earth or a geological formation conducts electricity. Conductivity is usually measured in milli-Siemens per metre (mS/m). It is the reciprocal of resistivity.

conductivity-depth imaging: see conductivity-depth transform.

conductivity thickness: [σt] The product of the conductivity, and thickness of a large, tabular body. (It is also called the “conductivity-thickness product”) In electromagnetic geophysics, the response of a thin plate-like conductor is proportional to the conductivity multiplied by thickness. For example, a 10 metre thickness of 20 Siemens/m mineralization will be equivalent to 5 metres of 40 S/m; both have 200 S conductivity thickness. Sometimes referred to as conductance.

conductor: Used to describe anything in the ground more conductive than the surrounding geology. Conductors are most often clays or graphite, or hopefully some type of mineralization, but may also be man-made objects, such as fences or pipelines.

coplanar coils: [CP] In HEM, the coplanar coils lie in the horizontal plane with their axes vertical, and parallel. These coils are most sensitive to massive conductive bodies, horizontal layers, and the halfspace.

cosmic ray: High energy sub-atomic particles from outer space that collide with the earth’s atmosphere to produce a shower of gamma rays (and other particles) at high energies.

counts (per second): The number of gamma-rays detected by a gamma-ray spectrometer. The rate depends on the geology, but also on the size and sensitivity of the detector.

culture: A term commonly used to denote any man-made object that creates a geophysical anomaly. Includes, but not limited to, power lines, pipelines, fences, and buildings.

current channelling: See current gathering.

current gathering: The tendency of electrical currents in the ground to channel into a conductive formation. This is particularly noticeable at higher frequencies or early time channels when the formation is long and parallel to the direction of current flow. This tends to enhance anomalies relative to inductive currents (see also induction). Also known as current channelling.

daughter products: The radioactive natural sources of gamma-rays decay from the original “parent” element (commonly potassium, uranium, and thorium) to one or more lower-energy “daughter” elements. Some of these lower energy elements are also radioactive and decay further. Gamma-ray spectrometry surveys may measure the gamma rays given off by the original element or by the decay of the daughter products.
dB/dt: As the secondary electromagnetic field changes with time, the magnetic field component induces a voltage in the receiving coil, which is proportional to the rate of change of the magnetic field over time.

decay: In time-domain electromagnetic theory, the weakening over time of the eddy currents in the ground, and hence the secondary field after the primary field electromagnetic pulse is turned off. In gamma-ray spectrometry, the radioactive breakdown of an element, generally potassium, uranium, thorium, or one of their daughter products.

decay constant: see time constant.

decay series: In gamma-ray spectrometry, a series of progressively lower energy daughter products produced by the radioactive breakdown of uranium or thorium.

depth of exploration: The maximum depth at which the geophysical system can detect the target. The depth of exploration depends very strongly on the type and size of the target, the contrast of the target with the surrounding geology, the homogeneity of the surrounding geology, and the type of geophysical system. One measure of the maximum depth of exploration for an electromagnetic system is the depth at which it can detect the strongest conductive target – generally a highly conductive horizontal layer.

differential resistivity: A process of transforming apparent resistivity to an approximation of layer resistivity at each depth. The method uses multi-frequency HEM data and approximates the effect of shallow layer conductance determined from higher frequencies to estimate the deeper conductivities (Huang and Fraser, 1996)

dipole moment: [NIA] For a transmitter, the product of the area of a coil, the number of turns of wire, and the current flowing in the coil. At a distance significantly larger than the size of the coil, the magnetic field from a coil will be the same if the dipole moment product is the same. For a receiver coil, this is the product of the area and the number of turns. The sensitivity to a magnetic field (assuming the source is far away) will be the same if the dipole moment is the same.

diurnal: The daily variation in a natural field, normally used to describe the natural fluctuations (over hours and days) of the earth’s magnetic field.

dielectric permittivity: \( \varepsilon \) The capacity of a material to store electrical charge, this is most often measured as the relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_r \), or ratio of the material dielectric to that of free space. The effect of high permittivity may be seen in HEM data at high frequencies over highly resistive geology as a reduced or negative in-phase, and higher quadrature data.

drape: To fly a survey following the terrain contours, maintaining a constant altitude above the local ground surface. Also applied to re-processing data collected at varying altitudes above ground to simulate a survey flown at constant altitude.
drift: Long-time variations in the base-level or calibration of an instrument.
eddy currents: The electrical currents induced in the ground, or other conductors, by a
time-varying electromagnetic field (usually the primary field). Eddy currents are also
induced in the aircraft’s metal frame and skin; a source of noise in EM surveys.
electromagnetic: [EM] Comprised of a time-varying electrical and magnetic field. Radio
waves are common electromagnetic fields. In geophysics, an electromagnetic system is
one which transmits a time-varying primary field to induce eddy currents in the
ground, and then measures the secondary field emitted by those eddy currents.
energy window: A broad spectrum of gamma-ray energies measured by a
spectrometric survey. The energy of each gamma-ray is measured and divided up into
numerous discrete energy levels, called windows.
equivalent (thorium or uranium): The amount of radioelement calculated to be present,
based on the gamma-rays measured from a daughter element. This assumes that the
decay series is in equilibrium – progressing normally.
exposure rate: in radiometric surveys, a calculation of the total exposure rate due to
gamma rays at the ground surface. It is used as a measurement of the concentration of
all the radioelements at the surface. See also: natural exposure rate.
fiducial, or fid: Timing mark on a survey record. Originally these were timing marks on
a profile or film; now the term is generally used to describe 1-second interval timing
records in digital data, and on maps or profiles.

Figure of Merit: (FOM) A sum of the 12 distinct magnetic noise variations measured by
each of four flight directions, and executing three aircraft attitude variations (yaw, pitch,
and roll) for each direction. The flight directions are generally parallel and perpendicular
to planned survey flight directions. The FOM is used as a measure of the manoeuvre
noise before and after compensation.

fixed-wing: Aircraft with wings, as opposed to “rotary wing” helicopters.

footprint: This is a measure of the area of sensitivity under the aircraft of an airborne
depth-sounding system. The footprint of an electromagnetic system is dependent on the
altitude of the system, the orientation of the transmitter and receiver and the separation
between the receiver and transmitter, and the conductivity of the ground. The footprint
of a gamma-ray spectrometer depends mostly on the altitude. For all depth-sounding
systems, the footprint also depends on the strength of the contrasting anomaly.

frequency domain: An electromagnetic system which transmits a primary field that
oscillates smoothly over time (sinusoidal), inducing a similarly varying electrical current in
the ground. These systems generally measure the changes in the amplitude and phase of
the secondary field from the ground at different frequencies by measuring the in-phase and quadrature phase components. See also time-domain.

**full-stream data:** Data collected and recorded continuously at the highest possible sampling rate. Normal data are stacked (see stacking) over some time interval before recording.

**gamma-ray:** A very high-energy photon, emitted from the nucleus of an atom as it undergoes a change in energy levels.

**gamma-ray spectrometry:** Measurement of the number and energy of natural (and sometimes man-made) gamma-rays across a range of photon energies.

**gradient:** In magnetic surveys, the gradient is the change of the magnetic field over a distance, either vertically or horizontally in either of two directions. Gradient data is often measured, or calculated from the total magnetic field data because it changes more quickly over distance than the total magnetic field, and so may provide a more precise measure of the location of a source. See also analytic signal.

**ground effect:** The response from the earth. A common calibration procedure in many geophysical surveys is to fly to altitude high enough to be beyond any measurable response from the ground, and there establish base levels or backgrounds.

**half-space:** A mathematical model used to describe the earth – as infinite in width, length, and depth below the surface. The most common halfspace models are homogeneous and layered earth.

**heading error:** A slight change in the magnetic field measured when flying in opposite directions.

**HEM:** Helicopter ElectroMagnetic, This designation is most commonly used for helicopter-borne, frequency-domain electromagnetic systems. At present, the transmitter and receivers are normally mounted in a bird carried on a sling line beneath the helicopter.

**herringbone pattern:** A pattern created in geophysical data by an asymmetric system, where the anomaly may be extended to either side of the source, in the direction of flight. Appears like fish bones, or like the teeth of a comb, extending either side of centre, each tooth an alternate flight line.

**homogeneous:** This is a geological unit that has the same physical parameters throughout its volume. This unit will create the same response to an HEM system anywhere, and the HEM system will measure the same apparent resistivity anywhere. The response may change with system direction (see anisotropy).
HTEM: Helicopter Time-domain ElectroMagnetic, This designation is used for the new generation of helicopter-borne, time-domain electromagnetic systems.

in-phase: the component of the measured secondary field that has the same phase as the transmitter and the primary field. The in-phase component is stronger than the quadrature phase over relatively higher conductivity.

induction: Any time-varying electromagnetic field will induce (cause) electrical currents to flow in any object with non-zero conductivity. (see eddy currents)

induction number: also called the “response parameter”, this number combines many of the most significant parameters affecting the EM response into one parameter against which to compare responses. For a layered earth the response parameter is $\mu \omega \sigma h^2$ and for a large, flat, conductor it is $\mu \omega \sigma t$, where $\mu$ is the magnetic permeability, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $\sigma$ is the conductivity, $t$ is the thickness (for the flat conductor) and $h$ is the height of the system above the conductor.

inductive limit: When the frequency of an EM system is very high, or the conductivity of the target is very high, the response measured will be entirely in-phase with no quadrature (phase angle =0). The in-phase response will remain constant with further increase in conductivity or frequency. The system can no longer detect changes in conductivity of the target.

infinite: In geophysical terms, an “infinite” dimension is one much greater than the footprint of the system, so that the system does not detect changes at the edges of the object.

International Geomagnetic Reference Field: [IGRF] An approximation of the smooth magnetic field of the earth, in the absence of variations due to local geology. Once the IGRF is subtracted from the measured magnetic total field data, any remaining variations are assumed to be due to local geology. The IGRF also predicts the slow changes of the field up to five years in the future.

inversion, or inverse modeling: A process of converting geophysical data to an earth model, which compares theoretical models of the response of the earth to the data measured, and refines the model until the response closely fits the measured data (Huang and Palacky, 1991)

layered earth: A common geophysical model which assumes that the earth is horizontally layered – the physical parameters are constant to infinite distance horizontally, but change vertically.

magnetic permeability: $[\mu]$ This is defined as the ratio of magnetic induction to the inducing magnetic field. The relative magnetic permeability $[\mu_r]$ is often quoted, which is the ratio of the rock permeability to the permeability of free space. In geology and geophysics, the magnetic susceptibility is more commonly used to describe rocks.
magnetic susceptibility: [k] A measure of the degree to which a body is magnetized. In SI units this is related to relative magnetic permeability by $k = \mu - 1$, and is a dimensionless unit. For most geological material, susceptibility is influenced primarily by the percentage of magnetite. It is most often quoted in units of $10^{-6}$. In HEM data this is most often apparent as a negative in-phase component over high susceptibility, high resistivity geology such as diabase dikes.

manoeuvre noise: variations in the magnetic field measured caused by changes in the relative positions of the magnetic sensor and magnetic objects or electrical currents in the aircraft. This type of noise is generally corrected by magnetic compensation.

model: Geophysical theory and applications generally have to assume that the geology of the earth has a form that can be easily defined mathematically, called the model. For example steeply dipping conductors are generally modeled as being infinite in horizontal and depth extent, and very thin. The earth is generally modeled as horizontally layered, each layer infinite in extent and uniform in characteristic. These models make the mathematics to describe the response of the (normally very complex) earth practical. As theory advances, and computers become more powerful, the useful models can become more complex.

natural exposure rate: in radiometric surveys, a calculation of the total exposure rate due to natural-source gamma rays at the ground surface. It is used as a measurement of the concentration of all the natural radioelements at the surface. See also: exposure rate.

noise: That part of a geophysical measurement that the user does not want. Typically this includes electronic interference from the system, the atmosphere (sferics), and man-made sources. This can be a subjective judgment, as it may include the response from geology other than the target of interest. Commonly the term is used to refer to high frequency (short period) interference. See also drift.

Occam's inversion: an inversion process that matches the measured electromagnetic data to a theoretical model of many, thin layers with constant thickness and varying resistivity (Constable et al, 1987).

off-time: In a time-domain electromagnetic survey, the time after the end of the primary field pulse, and before the start of the next pulse.

on-time: In a time-domain electromagnetic survey, the time during the primary field pulse.

overburden: In engineering and mineral exploration terms, this most often means the soil on top of the unweathered bedrock. It may be sand, glacial till, or weathered rock.
Phase, phase angle: The angular difference in time between a measured sinusoidal electromagnetic field and a reference – normally the primary field. The phase is calculated from \(\tan^{-1}(\text{in-phase} / \text{quadrature})\).

Physical parameters: These are the characteristics of a geological unit. For electromagnetic surveys, the important parameters are \textit{conductivity}, \textit{magnetic permeability} (or \textit{susceptibility}) and \textit{dielectric permittivity}; for magnetic surveys the parameter is magnetic susceptibility, and for gamma ray spectrometric surveys it is the concentration of the major radioactive elements: potassium, uranium, and thorium.

Permittivity: see \textit{dielectric permittivity}.

Permeability: see \textit{magnetic permeability}.

Primary field: the EM field emitted by a transmitter. This field induces \textit{eddy currents} in (energizes) the conductors in the ground, which then create their own \textit{secondary} fields.

Pulse: In time-domain EM surveys, the short period of intense \textit{primary} field transmission. Most measurements (the \textit{off-time}) are measured after the pulse. \textit{On-time} measurements may be made during the pulse.

Quadrature: that component of the measured \textit{secondary field} that is phase-shifted 90° from the \textit{primary field}. The quadrature component tends to be stronger than the \textit{in-phase} over relatively weaker \textit{conductivity}.

Q-coils: see \textit{calibration coil}.

Radioelements: This normally refers to the common, naturally-occurring radioactive elements: potassium (K), uranium (U), and thorium (Th). It can also refer to man-made radioelements, most often cobalt (Co) and cesium (Cs).

Radiometric: Commonly used to refer to \textit{gamma ray} spectrometry.

Radon: A radioactive daughter product of uranium and thorium, radon is a gas which can leak into the atmosphere, adding to the non-geological background of a gamma-ray spectrometric survey.

Receiver: the \textit{signal} detector of a geophysical system. This term is most often used in active geophysical systems – systems that transmit some kind of signal. In airborne electromagnetic surveys it is most often a \textit{coil}. (see also, \textit{transmitter})

Resistivity: [\(\rho\)] The strength with which the earth or a geological formation resists the flow of electricity, typically the flow induced by the \textit{primary field} of the electromagnetic transmitter. Normally expressed in ohm-metres, it is the reciprocal of \textit{conductivity}. 


resistivity-depth transforms: similar to conductivity depth transforms, but the calculated conductivity has been converted to resistivity.

resistivity section: an approximate vertical section of the resistivity of the layers in the earth. The resistivities can be derived from the apparent resistivity, the differential resistivities, resistivity-depth transforms, or inversions.

Response parameter: another name for the induction number.

secondary field: The field created by conductors in the ground, as a result of electrical currents induced by the primary field from the electromagnetic transmitter. Airborne electromagnetic systems are designed to create and measure a secondary field.

Sengpiel section: a resistivity section derived using the apparent resistivity and an approximation of the depth of maximum sensitivity for each frequency.

sferic: Lightning, or the electromagnetic signal from lightning, it is an abbreviation of “atmospheric discharge”. These appear to magnetic and electromagnetic sensors as sharp “spikes” in the data. Under some conditions lightning storms can be detected from hundreds of kilometres away. (see noise)

signal: That component of a measurement that the user wants to see – the response from the targets, from the earth, etc. (See also noise)

skin depth: A measure of the depth of penetration of an electromagnetic field into a material. It is defined as the depth at which the primary field decreases to 1/e of the field at the surface. It is calculated by approximately 503 x \sqrt{\text{resistivity/frequency}}. Note that depth of penetration is greater at higher resistivity and/or lower frequency.

spectrometry: Measurement across a range of energies, where amplitude and energy are defined for each measurement. In gamma-ray spectrometry, the number of gamma rays are measured for each energy window, to define the spectrum.

spectrum: In gamma ray spectrometry, the continuous range of energy over which gamma rays are measured. In time-domain electromagnetic surveys, the spectrum is the energy of the pulse distributed across an equivalent, continuous range of frequencies.

spheric: see sferic.

stacking: Summing repeat measurements over time to enhance the repeating signal, and minimize the random noise.

stripping: Estimation and correction for the gamma ray photons of higher and lower energy that are observed in a particular energy window. See also Compton scattering.
susceptibility: See magnetic susceptibility.

tau: $\tau$ Often used as a name for the time constant.
TDEM: time domain electromagnetic.

thin sheet: A standard model for electromagnetic geophysical theory. It is usually defined as a thin, flat-lying conductive sheet, infinite in both horizontal directions. (see also vertical plate)

tie-line: A survey line flown across most of the traverse lines, generally perpendicular to them, to assist in measuring drift and diurnal variation. In the short time required to fly a tie-line it is assumed that the drift and/or diurnal will be minimal, or at least changing at a constant rate.

time constant: The time required for an electromagnetic field to decay to a value of $1/e$ of the original value. In time-domain electromagnetic data, the time constant is proportional to the size and conductance of a tabular conductive body. Also called the decay constant.

Time channel: In time-domain electromagnetic surveys the decaying secondary field is measured over a period of time, and the divided up into a series of consecutive discrete measurements over that time.

time-domain: Electromagnetic system which transmits a pulsed, or stepped electromagnetic field. These systems induce an electrical current (eddy current) in the ground that persists after the primary field is turned off, and measure the change over time of the secondary field created as the currents decay. See also frequency-domain.

total energy envelope: The sum of the squares of the three components of the time-domain electromagnetic secondary field. Equivalent to the amplitude of the secondary field.

transient: Time-varying. Usually used to describe a very short period pulse of electromagnetic field.

transmitter: The source of the signal to be measured in a geophysical survey. In airborne EM it is most often a coil carrying a time-varying electrical current, transmitting the primary field. (see also receiver)

traverse line: A normal geophysical survey line. Normally parallel traverse lines are flown across the property in spacing of 50 m to 500 m, and generally perpendicular to the target geology.
vertical plate: A standard model for electromagnetic geophysical theory. It is usually defined as thin conductive sheet, infinite in horizontal dimension and depth extent. (see also thin sheet)

waveform: The shape of the electromagnetic pulse from a time-domain electromagnetic transmitter.

window: A discrete portion of a gamma-ray spectrum or time-domain electromagnetic decay. The continuous energy spectrum or full-stream data are grouped into windows to reduce the number of samples, and reduce noise.
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Common Symbols and Acronyms

\( k \) Magnetic susceptibility
\( \varepsilon \) Dielectric permittivity
\( \mu, \mu_r \) Magnetic permeability, relative permeability
\( \rho, \rho_a \) Resistivity, apparent resistivity
\( \sigma, \sigma_a \) Conductivity, apparent conductivity
\( \sigma_t \) Conductivity thickness
\( \tau \) Tau, or time constant
\( \Omega_m \) ohm-metres, units of resistivity
\( \text{AGS} \) Airborne gamma ray spectrometry.
\( \text{CDT} \) Conductivity-depth transform, conductivity-depth imaging (Macnae and Lamontagne, 1987; Wolfgram and Karlik, 1995)
\( \text{CPI, CPQ} \) Coplanar in-phase, quadrature
\( \text{CPS} \) Counts per second
\( \text{CTP} \) Conductivity thickness product
\( \text{CXI, CXQ} \) Coaxial, in-phase, quadrature
\( \text{FOM} \) Figure of Merit
\( fT \) femtoteslas, normal unit for measurement of B-Field
\( \text{EM} \) Electromagnetic
\( \text{keV} \) kilo electron volts – a measure of gamma-ray energy
\( \text{MeV} \) mega electron volts – a measure of gamma-ray energy \( 1\text{MeV} = 1000\text{keV} \)
\( \text{NIA} \) dipole moment: turns x current x Area
\( \text{nT} \) nanotesla, a measure of the strength of a magnetic field
\( \text{nG/h} \) nanoGreys/hour – gamma ray dose rate at ground level
\( \text{ppm} \) parts per million – a measure of secondary field or noise relative to the primary or radioelement concentration.
\( \text{pT/s} \) picoteslas per second: Units of decay of secondary field, dB/dt
\( S \) siemens – a unit of conductance
\( x \) the horizontal component of an EM field parallel to the direction of flight.
\( y \) the horizontal component of an EM field perpendicular to the direction of flight.
\( z \) the vertical component of an EM field.
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