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1.0 SUMMARY

The Kilometre 26 D (A, B) mineral claims, comprise 167.71 hectares located in central British Columbia along the Pinchi Fault, predominantly covering Cache Creek Terrane ultramafic and carbonate rocks. The Pinchi Fault is a major structural feature in central British Columbia and is known for hosting several mercury deposits occurring along its length and is speculated to be responsible for several gold occurrences and an unknown quantity of placer gold. The Pinchi Fault is also related to obducted upper mantle oceanic crust resulting in ultramafic rocks (serpentinite) exposed near surface.

The Kilometre 26 D Property is primarily prospective for low-grade, high tonnage nickel-chromium-cobalt bearing mineralization similar to the rocks at the Decar Project, 32 kilometres to the west, owned by First Point Minerals Corp. At the Decar Project ultramafic rocks host awaruite (Ni3Fe and Ni2Fe), an iron nickel alloy that is being explored as a potential new source of nickel (non sulphide).

Previous work done on the property include Eastfield Res, Oroandes Res, and Ft St James Nickel Corp. Fieldwork performed included geological, geochemical, geophysical, & drilling exploration of a 1 X 4.5 kilometer area notably characterized by the presence of increased magnetite and associated Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization. Increased magnetite content has resulted in a distinct, well defined 1 X 4.5 kilometer area, magnetometer anomaly. The Km 26 D property is the subject of this report, is part of the Ni-Cr-Co zone (southeast extension), that is described in MINFILE as follows:

In 2009 and 2010, Eastfield Resources and Oroandes Resource completed programs of prospecting, mapping, geophysical surveys and geochemical sampling. Bedrock sampling, over an area of 300 by 300 metres, returned values varying from 0.15 to 0.23 per cent nickel (Assessment Report 31877). Nickel alloy and nickel sulphide occur at Kilometre 26, although the exact proportions of each remain unknown. Scanning electron microscope work completed on surface rubble samples in 2011 identified awaruite (nickel alloy) with an average nickel content of 81 per cent and pentlandite (nickel sulphide) with an average nickel content of 35 per cent. In 2011, Fort St. James Nickel Corp. released diamond drill results for six holes totalling 813 metres, completed at the 100 per cent-owned Kilometre 26 project. All six holes intersected nickel-mineralized serpentinized ultramafic rock throughout their full lengths, with all holes ending in nickel mineralization. Five of the six holes were well mineralized with excellent nickel values throughout, while one hole was well mineralized near its bottom. Nickel content of samples reached a high of 0.3 per cent (V STOCKWATCH, January 31, 2012).

In Nov, 2018, the writer completed geochemical fieldwork on the Km 26 D property collecting a total of 12 rock samples (covering approximately 20 hectares, roughly a 200 X 1,000 m area, Fig 4-7). The claim area is characterized by glacial till covering all outcrop and all the rock samples are angular float. The subsequent geochemical analysis revealed 6 out of 12 rock sample contained > 1,000 ppm Ni & Cr. 6 out of 12 samples range between 1,050-1,665 ppm Ni, and 1,005-1,945 ppm Cr. The Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization is hosted in serpentinite with accessory magnetite. The low sulphur content of the rock samples suggest nickel mineralogy may include awaruite. Sample 308 (with 1,945 ppm Cr), contained 0.16% S and 308 contains higher sulphur content than all the other samples, which range from 0.01-0.06% S. Rock chip sample geochemical analysis on the Km 26 D property identified a large general area of serpentinite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing, >1,000 ppm Ni & Cr, mineralization (Fig 4).

The mineralized areas (defined by angular float) do not have bedrock outcroppings and have been moved from source area by glacial ice movement. Float samples are considered valid to identify a train of float which can lead to an up-ice direction source. There is considerable angular float available for sampling.
along logging roads exposing deeper levels of till, but most of the area is covered by vegetation and angular float is rarely exposed. Glacial till overlying bedrock is generally unsorted, and is generally a mix of cobble, pebble, sand, silt and clay sized fragments.

Serpentinite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization float (6 of 12 samples) are associated with relatively low S-Ca-P analysis values, and relatively higher Fe-Mg values. Elevated iron-magnesium content in ultramafic rocks (e.g. lherzolite, harzburgite, dunite) correlates directly with Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization.

Ultramafic zones can be crudely mapped by interpreting magnetometer geophysics and further detailed magnetometer work could delineate additional ultramafic bedrock. Drilling areas where the thickest sections of ultramafic (ophiolite assemblage) rocks are present is recommended, with Reflex downhole survey that includes magnetometer readings downhole. In order to avoid drilling through thick sections of overburden (e.g. >50 m), a ground penetrating radar (GPR) or passive seismic geophysical survey is advised in order to map sub-surface overburden thickness, and interpretation of results may be able to map variable lithology densities (e.g. ultramafic vs volcaniclastic or carbonate). The diamond drilling performed on adjacent mineral claims in 2011 by Ft St James Nickel (Morton, 2011), demonstrated that best Ni-Cr-Co analysis results were attained in areas of the shallowest overburden, and poorest results correlate with deepest overburden, giving reasons to perform ground penetrating radar (GPR) or passive seismic geophysical surveys. Elevated Ni-Cr-Co hosted in serpentinite is characterized by high magnetite content and is generally more indurated (hardened and silicified) than the adjacent carbonate altered serpentinite. The Pinchi Fault that cuts through the center of the property, is postulated to have a strong influence on the distribution of induration and carbonate alteration, and ground penetrating radar (GPR) and/or passive seismic geophysical surveys may be useful to interpret distribution of induration and carbonate alteration.

2.0 Introduction

This technical report describes property history and recent geochemical fieldwork done on the Km 26 D mineral claim during Nov 4-7, 2018. This report is prepared to comply with BC Ministry of Energy and Mines Mineral Act requirements for assessment reports.

3.0 Location, Access, Infrastructure, & Physiography

The Km 26 project is located approximately 53 kilometers northwest of the town of Fort St. James in central British Columbia. Access to the project is provided by the paved Tachie road (± 40 kilometres) and then the all weather gravel Leo Creek Forestry Service Road.

Topography of Km 26 is flat to undulating with elevations varying from 760 metres (2500 feet) to 880 metres (2900 feet). Vegetation is predominantly Lodgepole pine, spruce and minor Douglas fir. There are extensive areas of clearcut logged forest, as well as a network of active and de-actified logging roads that offer excellent access to the property.

The climate for this area is typical of central British Columbia with warm to hot summers and cool to cold winters. Permanent snow typically covers the ground from the first part of November until mid April. Logging activities persist year-round except during Spring breakup when weight restrictions are enforced.
4.0 Property Status

The Kilometre 26 D (A, B) mineral claims, comprise 167.71 hectares located in central British Columbia. The mineral tenures (listed below) are located within the Omineca Mining Division (Figure 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Number</th>
<th>Claim Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Title Type</th>
<th>Issue Date</th>
<th>Good To Date</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1061104</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>276653 (100%)</td>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>2018/JUN/12</td>
<td>2024/APR/17</td>
<td>18.6342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1061105</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>276653 (100%)</td>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>2018/JUN/12</td>
<td>2024/APR/17</td>
<td>18.6362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1061106</td>
<td>KM 26 D</td>
<td>276653 (100%)</td>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>2018/JUN/12</td>
<td>2024/APR/17</td>
<td>130.4398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total area of the mineral tenures that comprise the property is 167.71 hectares (414.24 acres). Details of the status of tenure ownership for the Km 26 D, A, and B property were obtained from the Mineral-Titles-Online (MTO) electronic staking system managed by the Mineral Titles Branch of the Province of British Columbia. This system is based on mineral tenures acquired electronically online using a grid cell selection system. Tenure boundaries are based on lines of latitude and longitude. There is no requirement to mark claim boundaries on the ground as these can be determined with reasonable accuracy using a GPS. The Km 26 D, A, & B claim have not been surveyed.

The mineral tenures comprising the Km 26 mineral property are shown in Figure 2. The claim map shown in Figure 2 was generated from GIS spatial data downloaded from the Government of BC GeoBC website. These spatial layers are the same as those incorporated into the Mineral-Titles-Online (MTO) electronic staking system that is used to locate and record mineral tenures in British Columbia. Information posted on the MTO website indicates that mineral tenures 1061104, 1051105, and 1051106 are owned 100% by Glenn Collick (FMC 276653).

5.0 Property (and Area) History

In 1983, Cominco Ltd. conducted geochemical and prospecting fieldwork north of its Pinchi mercury mine along the postulated trace of the Pinchi Fault targeting epithermal gold mineralization related to the fault. Cominco discovering a large mineralized boulder at the 26 kilometer signpost of the Leo Creek forestry service road. The boulder which was described as being composed of quartz-ankerite-magnesite-mariposite and repeatedly returned analysis values of 8.1 gm/tonne Au. In 1986, Equinox Resources Ltd. optioned the claims and completed 734 metres of reverse circulation drilling. Twenty-one holes were completed with fourteen encountering bedrock. While no significant gold or arsenic results were obtained several holes encountered ultramafic rock.

The Pinchi Fault is a dominant structural feature in central British Columbia and is a major structural feature that separates distinct geological terranes. It extends for more than 450 kilometres and has combined thrust and normal fault displacements. The Pinchi Fault has several mercury (cinnabar) deposits which occur along it, the most significant of which is the Pinchi Lake Mercury mine located 25 kilometres to the southeast of the property. The Pinchi Mercury Mine, owned by Teck-Cominco, was discovered in 1937 and was in production from 1940 to 1944 and again from 1968 to 1975.
Decar Nickel Project:
In 2007, First Point Minerals Corp identified a potential naturally occurring nickel-iron alloy form of nickel mineralization at Mount Sydney Williams (located approximately 30 kilometres to the west of the Km 26 claims). The Decar project features naturally occurring nickel-iron alloy called awaruite (essentially a natural form of stainless steel). Awaruite averages 75% nickel is very magnetic and heavy and has negligible sulfur content. The absence of sulfur could significantly reduce smelter costs in a production scenario while the magnetic and density properties could allow concentration of nickel content using magnetic and gravity processes. Resource estimate listed on First Point Minerals website only lists Davis Tube recovery (Davis Tube electromagnetic separators create a magnetic field which is able to extract magnetic particles from pulverized ore).


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tonnes</th>
<th>Davis Tube Recoverable Nickel Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% Ni) (Tonnes) (Pounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>1,159,510,000</td>
<td>0.124 1,437,800 3,169,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>870,400,000</td>
<td>0.125 1,088,000 2,398,600,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fieldwork on the Km 26 area carried out by Eastfield Res and Oroandes Res in 2009-2010 identified six sites with total nickel values varying from 0.15% to 0.23%. Three of the samples have greater than 60% of the nickel in a non silicate form (up to 0.14% non silicate nickel. The first identification of the awaruite nickel alloy was reported in a scanning electron microscope study by P.C. Le Couteur in a report dated 13 January 2011. High tenor pentlandite (±Ni) dominated the samples examined by Le Couter. The preponderance of work at Kilometre 26 has been completed in 2010 and 2011. A total of 62 kilometers of grid has been cut and surveyed utilizing induced polarization and magnetometer techniques. Fourteen hundred (1400) soil samples and one hundred and forty-eight rock samples have been collected and analyzed. A strong north-south oriented magnetic feature-interpreted to be serpentinite has been defined over a strike length of 4.5 kilometers. A well defined airborne geophysical total field magnetic anomaly correlates well with the anomaly defined by the surface surveys.

In 2011, diamond drilling was carried out by Ft St James Nickel Corp on mineral tenure 596283 (located adjacent to subject property). Six holes totalling 813 metres were completed. Analytical work was completed on March 19, 2012. 2011 drill holes results are summarized as follows:
This drilling tested a 1400 metre by 400 metre area of a 4500 metre long geophysical target (magnetic high). All six holes started and ended in mineralized serpentinite with four of the holes returning total nickel intercepts of 0.20% to 0.24% Ni with included sulphide nickel of 0.10% to 0.15% Ni respectively over intervals as wide as 63 metres. Preliminary metallurgical testing has confirmed that most of the mineralization is high nickel tenor pentlandite (average 35% Ni). A conceptual mine model for Kilometer 26 nickel compares to low grade copper porphyry deposits in BC. The first identification of the awaruite nickel alloy was reported in a petrographic study by P.C. Le Couteur in January 2011. One sample (of 11 samples submitted) contained the nickel alloy awaruite in the habit of numerous grains ranging from less than 0.01 mm to about 0.15 mm (10 to 150 microns). The average nickel content of the awaruite grains was determined to be 81%. Metallic minerals in the remaining samples were almost exclusively pentlandite with an average nickel content of 35% for all of the non awaruite metallics.

In 2017, the writer took rock chip samples on adjacent claims (claim name Km 26) located northwest of the subject property. Geochemical fieldwork identified 3 general areas (north, middle, and south zones) of serpentinite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing (>1,000 ppm Ni & Cr, >90 ppm Co) mineralization (Kikauka, 2017). The mineralized areas (defined by 22 angular float) do not have bedrock outcroppings. There is considerable angular float available for sampling (notably along logging roads). Ni-Cr-Co analysis values in outcrop were relatively low and noticeably higher in Ca-S-P values with relatively low Mg-Fe. Serpentinite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization is associated with relatively low S-Ca-P analysis values, and relatively higher Fe-Mg values. Elevated iron-magnesium content in ultramafic rocks (e.g. lherzolite, harzburgite, dunite) correlates directly with Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization. The mineralized areas (defined by angular float) do not have bedrock outcroppings and have been moved from source area by glacial ice movement.

Geochemical analysis (certificate VA17283052) listing elevated Ni-Cr-Co rock chip samples from Km 26 claim (located adjacent to Km 26 D claim):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DDH #</th>
<th>From m</th>
<th>To m</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Ni %</th>
<th>Cr ppm</th>
<th>Co ppm</th>
<th>Mg %</th>
<th>Overburden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>38.0 m</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-1A</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>53.5 m</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-1B</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>167.0 m</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3</td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>174.0</td>
<td>6.0 m</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1,528</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>171.0</td>
<td>157.5 m</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1,103</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-5</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2017, the writer performed magnetometer surveys on adjacent claims (claim name Km 26) located northwest of the subject property (Kikauka, 2017). A total of 3.4 line-kilometers, with 272 readings taken, range from 56,057.24 to 56,989.07 nT. Results from ground magnetometer geophysical surveying indicates a roughly 200 X 400 m area total field magnetic high (in the order of 300-600 nT increase) is located in the northeast portion of mineral claim 1049093. The ground magnetometer survey identified a well defined positive anomaly (>56,800 nT) that is interpreted as a 200 X 400 meter area (elongated NNW-SSE) prime drill target. The quality of this drill target is based on the size of the geophysical anomaly, proximity to Pinchi Fault, and Ft St James Nickel Corp 2011 drill holes described in Assessment Report 33,325 (Morton, 2011).

6.0 General and Property Geology

The Kilometre 26 D property consists of 3 mineral claims (Figure 2) totalling 167.71 hectares (414.24 acres). The claims are characterized by gentle to undulating topography typical of mature geological terrain. The predominate target of interest on the property is ophiolite (ultramafic) hosted disseminated nickel. Most of the property is underlain by Permin-Triassic Cache Creek Group rocks which are oceanic in origin while the extreme eastern region of the claims is underlain by Triassic-Jurassic clastic rocks of the Quesnel Terrane which are predominantly island arc in derivation. The suture which marks this boundary is the Pinchi Fault Zone. Cache Creek Group rocks in the vicinity of the Km 26 claims are dominated by ultramafic serpentinites, basalt and limestone. These rocks are interpreted to form a collage which resulted from a series of accretions and obductions of oceanic rock (directed west to east) extending tens of kilometers in multiple directions. The mantle derived, serpentinized ultramafic units are of interest for nickel mineralization. These ductile rock units were thrust up over shallower oceanic sediments. Takla Group (Quesnel Terrane) rocks which occupy the eastern region of the claim group are predominantly volcaniclastics (greywacke, argillaceous siltstone). The suture
separating Cache Creek Rocks from Takla rocks corresponds to the Pinchi Fault Zone. This fault
zone which occurs as series of anastomosing splays several kilometers wide extends for several
hundreds of kilometers.

The western portion of the property is underlain by rocks of the Paleozoic aged Cache Creek
Group. The Cache Creek Terrane in British Columbia represents a Paleozoic ocean in which the
full sequence of pelagic sediments/ chert, limestone and some ultramafic rock represents an
accretionary assemblage while some of the ultramafic bodies (the mantle derivatives) are
ophiolites. Ophiolites are suites of ultramafic rocks generated in a mantle slab beneath
oceanic crust. Plate boundary tectonic movement has resulted in slabs of oceanic crust detach
mantle derived mafic and ultramafic ophiolites and override continental margins which may
already be overridden by parts of the accretionary assemblage. The combined assemblage of
oceanic crust and its underlying mantle rocks are considered accretionary assemblages colliding
intact and accrete themselves to a pre-existing continental margin whereas the slabs of mafic and
ultramafic rocks are derived from obduction and are part of the ophiolite assemblage.
Accretionary and ophiolitic assemblages are present on the subject property. The bulk of the
ultramafics known in the Km 26 belt are interpreted to be ophiolites which are prospective for
nickel mineralization.

Decar Project ultramafic ophiolitic rocks host awaruite, an iron nickel alloy that is being
explored as a potential new source of non-sulphide naturally occurring nickel-iron alloy. In
addition to geological similarities to the Decar Project, the Km 26 claims share geological
features with The Dumont Project located in Quebec. Nickel mineralization at Dumont is hosted
in serpentinized ultramafic rocks recently interpreted to be ophiolite in origin. Published reserves
for Dumont stand at 1.1 billion tonnes grading 0.27% nickel with a metallurgical and process
recovery rate of 41% (0.11% Ni recoverable). Recoverable nickel at Dumont occurs as an
intermixed assemblage of awaruite and nickel sulphides which will be recovered using
flootation.

The Axelgold layered gabbro intrusion located in the Cache Creek Group approximately
150 kilometers to the north-west of Km 26 is a layered gabbroic to anorthositic complex
measuring twelve kilometres by five kilometers and several thousand metres thick. A lower,
ultramafic portion has not been mapped and is interpreted, if present, to be buried under an
unknown depth of the intrusion. It is this lower, olivine rich ultramafic component that would, if
present, be prospective for copper-nickel mineralization. Layered intrusions host some of the
world’s large and high grade sulfide nickel deposits such as Voisey’s Bay in Labrador and
Norilsk in Russia.

The Quesnel Terrane, to which the Takla Group is part, is a northwest-southeast trending
Mesozoic remnant of a west facing volcanic arc. It constitutes the continental margin to
which the Cache Creek Group was both accreted and obducted. Takla Group rocks
occupy the extreme eastern side of the Kilometre 26 property (± 1/4 of the property).
Lithologies identified in outcrop at Kilometre 26 include Cache Creek Group gabbro and
limestone and Takla Group mudstone and mafic volcanic tuff. Serpentinite has not been
found outcropping but comprises all of the core drilled in 2012.
Nickel mineralization in serpentinized ultramafic rocks of probable ophiolitic origin have been discovered at Km 26. All the mineralized samples are similar in their association with elevated cobalt and chromium and their magnesium content is indicative of serpentinization. The high Ni-Cr-Co bearing serpentinite samples are generally very low in sulfur content (e.g. 0.01-0.03% S, with exceptions of 2 samples that contain 0.16% S and 0.06% S that correlates with increased pyrite/pyrrhotite).

7.0 2018 Field Program

7.1 Scope & Purpose

The 2018 geochemical rock chip sampling and ground magnetometer geophysics was carried out in order to evaluate mineral potential of the Km 26 D claim in a 20 hectare area (200 X 1,000 m area), where outcrop is not exposed. Previous geochemical rock chip sampling north of the Km 26 D claim outlined areas of Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization. High iron (magnetite bearing) ultramafic rocks respond well to magnetometer and IP geophysical surveys and the area where previous surveys have identified positive anomalies were targeted (Morton, 2011).

7.2 Methods and Procedures

A total of 12 rock chip samples (ID numbers 301-312) were taken as float clasts in overburden along roadcuts and sub-eroding boulder-cobble sized angular-shaped, relatively close to source, angular-shaped float (Fig 4-7). Rock chip samples were taken with rock hammer and chisel and consist of acorn to walnut sized bedrock pieces for a total weight ranging from 0.90 to 2.34 kgs. Sample material was placed in marked poly ore bags and shipped to ALS Minerals, North Vancouver. Samples were secure and not tampered with.

ALS Minerals crushed better than 70% passing a 2 mm screen split and pulverized rock chip samples. A split of 250 grams is pulverized to better than 85% passing a 75 micron screen. The sample pulp is analyzed using ALS Minerals ME-ICP61 four acid digestion, multi-element ICP-AES geochemical analytical methods (Appendix B). All 12 samples were subjected to quality control standards and duplicates to verify analytical data (Appendix A).

7.3 Rock Chip Sample Geochemistry

The writer performed fieldwork consisting of geochemical sampling of 12 sample sites on the Km 26 D claim (Fig 4-7). Geochemical sampling was carried out on exposed float samples located in close proximity to historic mapped occurrences of serpentinite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization. A total of 12 rock chip samples were collected from angular float (6 out of 12 rock samples returned elevated Ni-Cr-Co-Mg as well as low in Ca-S-P), Rock chip samples were analyzed by ALS Minerals, North Vancouver, BC (Method: ME-MS61 ICP-AES 33 element geochemical analysis, Certificate VA19088009).

In Nov, 2018, the writer completed geochemical fieldwork on the Km 26 D property collecting a total of 12 rock samples (covering approximately 20 hectares, roughly a 200 X 1,000 m area, Fig 4-7). The claim
area is characterized by glacial till covering all outcrop and all the rock samples are angular float. The subsequent geochemical analysis revealed 6 out of 12 rock sample contained > 1,000 ppm Ni & Cr. 6 out of 12 samples range between 1,050-1,665 ppm Ni, and 1,005-1,945 ppm Cr hosted in magnetite bearing serpentinite. The low sulphur content of the rock samples suggest nickel mineralogy may include awaruite. Sample 308 (with 1,945 ppm Cr), contained 0.16% S and sample 308 contains higher sulphur content than all the other samples which range from 0.01-0.06% S. Sample 308 also contains higher concentrations of pyrite and pyrrhotite that accounts for increased sulphur content. Rock chip sample geochemical analysis on the Km 26 D property identified a large general area of serpentinite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing, >1,000 ppm Ni & Cr, mineralization (Fig 4-7).

The mineralized areas (defined by angular float) do not have bedrock outcroppings and have been moved from source area by glacial ice movement. Float samples are considered valid to identify a train of float which can lead to an up-ice direction source. There is considerable angular float available for sampling along logging roads exposing deeper levels of till, but most of the area is covered by vegetation and angular float is rarely exposed. Glacial till overlying bedrock is generally unsorted, and is generally a mix of cobble, pebble, sand, silt and clay sized fragments.

Serpentinite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization float (6 of 12 samples) are associated with relatively low S-Ca-P analysis values, and relatively higher Fe-Mg values. Elevated iron-magnesium content in ultramafic rocks (e.g. lherzolite, harzburgite, dunite) correlates directly with Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization.

**8.0 Discussion of Results**

Ultramafic zones can be crudely mapped by interpreting magnetometer geophysics and further detailed magnetometer work could delineate additional ultramafic bedrock. Drilling areas where the thickest sections of ultramafic (ophiolite assemblage) rocks are present is recommended, with Reflex downhole survey that includes magnetometer readings downhole. In order to avoid drilling through thick sections of overburden (e.g. >50 m), a ground penetrating radar (GPR) or passive seismic geophysical survey is advised in order to map sub-surface overburden thickness, and interpretation of results may be able to map variable lithology densities (e.g. ultramafic vs volcaniclastic or carbonate). The diamond drilling performed on adjacent mineral claims in 2011 by Ft St James Nickel (Morton, 2011), demonstrated that best Ni-Cr-Co analysis results were attained in areas of the shallowest overburden, and poorest results correlate with deepest overburden, giving reasons to perform ground penetrating radar (GPR) or passive seismic geophysical surveys. Elevated Ni-Cr-Co hosted in serpentinitite is characterized by high magnetite content and is generally more indurated (hardened and silicified) than the adjacent carbonate altered serpentinite. The Pinchi Fault that cuts through the center of the property, is postulated to have a strong influence on the distribution of induration and carbonate alteration, and ground penetrating radar (GPR) and/or passive seismic geophysical surveys may be useful to interpret distribution of induration and carbonate alteration.

Nickel-chromium-cobalt bearing, ultramafic hosted mineralization identified on the property requires more systematic sampling. Hand dug pits for till sampling and mapping of boulder-cobble sized clasts is recommended.

**9.0 Conclusions & Recommendations**

Geochemical analysis identifies serpentinitite hosted Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization in angular glacial till clasts on the Km 26 D claim. Elevated Ni-Cr-Co is associated with relatively low S-Ca-P analysis values, and relatively higher Fe-Mg values. Elevated iron-magnesium content in ultramafic rocks (e.g. lherzolite,
harzburgite, dunite) correlates directly with Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization. Ultramafic zones can be crudely mapped by interpreting magnetometer geophysics and further detailed magnetometer work could delineate additional ultramafic bedrock. Drilling areas where the thickest sections of ultramafic (ophiolite assemblage) rocks are present is recommended. In order to avoid drilling through thick sections of overburden (e.g. >50 m), a ground penetrating radar (GPR) or passive seismic geophysical survey is advised in order to map sub-surface overburden thickness, and interpretation of results may be able to map variable lithology densities (e.g. ultramafic vs volcaniclastic). Future exploration and development of Km 26 D Ni-Cr-Co bearing mineralization should be focused on extensions of mineralization by geophysics (ground magnetometer, ground penetrating radar GPR, and passive seismic geophysical surveys), follow-up core drilling contingent on results.

**Proposed Phase 1 exploration program**

Detailed geological mapping and geochemical soil and rock chip sampling is recommended. Magnetometer and GPR/passive seismic geophysics covering about 6 km of grid lines is also recommended, approximate budget for this work would be C$75,000.

**Proposed budget for phase 1 exploration program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (Cdn$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geologist</td>
<td>70 days X $400/day</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Assistant</td>
<td>70 days X $250/day</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp costs</td>
<td>70 days X $100/day</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite phone</td>
<td>1.25 month X $1,000/month</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment (generators, saws, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>490 man-days X $20/man/day</td>
<td>9,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey costs</td>
<td>5 km grid lines</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical soil and rock samples</td>
<td>1,400 samples X $25/sample</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone and Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report and drafting</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Equipment Rental</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>200,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TOTAL PHASE 1 = $200,000

**Proposed Phase 2 exploration program** Contingent on the results of phase 1, diamond drilling is recommended. The total diamond drilling in phase 2 would amount to 1,000 meters (3,048 feet). The proposed budget for phase 2 is approximately C$400,000. The proposed recommendations are warranted as envisaged. Contingent on phase 1 results, phase 2 fieldwork includes 1,000 meters core drilling:

**Proposed budget for phase 2 exploration program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (Cdn$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geologist</td>
<td>50 days X $400/day</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Assistant</td>
<td>50 days X $250/day</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>50 days X $175/day</td>
<td>8,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp costs</td>
<td>50 days X $90/day</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite phone</td>
<td>2 months X $1,000/month</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment (generators, saws)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling (and support)</td>
<td>1,000 meters (3,048 ft)</td>
<td>265,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>350 man-days X $20/man/day</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td>49,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core and rock samples</td>
<td>500 samples X $25/sample</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone and Fax</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report and drafting</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Equipment Rental</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ITEMIZED COST STATEMENT-

KM 26 PROJECT-
GEOCHEMICAL FIELDWORK
Dates worked: Nov 4-7, 2018
BCGS 093K.087, NTS 093 K/15 E, OMINECA MINING DIVISION
Work carried out on MTO tenure number: 1061106

FIELD CREW:
A. Kikauka (Geologist) 4 days $ 2,520.00

FIELD COST:
Preparation, Mob and Demob $ 419.95
Equipment, Supplies, Generator 77.45
Geochemical analysis ME-ICP61, 12 rock chip samples 479.40
(& shipping to ALS Global Laboratories, N Vancouver, BC) 479.40
Meals & Accommodations 379.25
Fuel and lubricants 326.55
Communication (sat phone, VHF radios) 61.50

Report 750.00

Total amount= $ 5,014.10
CERTIFICATE VA19088009

Project: Km 26 D

This report is for 12 Rock samples submitted to our lab in Vancouver, BC, Canada on 12-APR-2019.

The following have access to data associated with this certificate:
ANDRIS KIKAUKA

SAMPLE PREPARATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALS CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WEI-21</td>
<td>Received Sample Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISP-01</td>
<td>Disposal of all sample fractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOG-22</td>
<td>Sample login - Red w/o BarCode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU-QC</td>
<td>Crushing QC Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUL-QC</td>
<td>Pulverizing QC Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU-31</td>
<td>Fine crushing - 70%&lt;2mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL-21</td>
<td>Split sample - riffle splitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUL-31</td>
<td>Pulverize split to 85%&lt;75 um</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALS CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>INSTRUMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5-ICP61</td>
<td>33 element four acid ICP-AES</td>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this certificate number. Results apply to samples as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

***** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate *****
**CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VA19088009**

| Sample Description | Method | Analyte | LOD | Recvd Wt. | Ag | Al | As | Be | B | Be | Bi | Ca | Cd | Co | Cr | Cu | Fe | Ga | K |
|--------------------|--------|---------|-----|----------|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|                    | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 | ME-ICP1 |
| 301                | 1.46    | <0.5    | 6.79  | <5     | 60   | 0.6 | 4   | 6.20 | <0.5 | 45   | 11  | 241 | 10.95 | 20  | 0.35 |
| 302                | 0.78    | <0.5    | 0.87  | <5     | 10   | <0.5 | <2  | 0.19 | <0.5 | 89   | 1795 | 15  | 5.28  | <10 | 0.01 |
| 303                | 0.98    | <0.5    | 3.21  | 6      | 100  | <0.5 | <2  | 2.13 | <0.5 | 89   | 1230 | 12  | 6.90  | 10  | 0.14 |
| 304                | 1.88    | <0.5    | 3.95  | <5     | 320  | 0.5 | <2  | 1.91 | <0.5 | 58   | 1005 | 25  | 6.16  | 10  | 0.75 |
| 305                | 1.70    | <0.5    | 8.33  | <5     | 320  | 1.1 | <2  | 5.93 | <0.5 | 27   | 80  | 15  | 6.84  | 20  | 1.20 |
| 306                | 1.10    | <0.5    | 3.12  | <5     | 100  | <0.5 | <2  | 1.52 | <0.5 | 73   | 1195 | 18  | 5.29  | 10  | 0.15 |
| 307                | 0.90    | <0.5    | 3.74  | <5     | 360  | 0.7 | <2  | 3.80 | <0.5 | 88   | 996  | 151 | 6.86  | 10  | 0.96 |
| 308                | 2.08    | <0.5    | 1.12  | <5     | 10   | 0   | 3   | 9.75 | <0.5 | 97   | 1945 | 51  | 4.32  | <10 | 0.01 |
| 309                | 1.98    | <0.5    | 4.48  | <5     | 300  | 0.7 | 3   | 9.07 | <0.5 | 57   | 463  | 62  | 8.15  | 10  | 0.52 |
| 310                | 2.34    | <0.5    | 7.75  | <5     | 520  | 1.1 | <2  | 4.70 | <0.5 | 18   | 44  | 15  | 4.82  | 20  | 1.36 |
| 311                | 1.90    | <0.5    | 4.98  | 5      | 490  | <0.5 | <2  | 3.63 | 0.5   | 52   | 813  | 6   | 5.74  | 10  | 1.03 |
| 312                | 1.12    | <0.5    | 3.07  | <5     | 310  | 0.5 | <2  | 2.76 | 0.7   | 73   | 1095 | 90  | 6.25  | 10  | 0.62 |

*See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate***
**CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VA19088009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Analyte Units</th>
<th>LOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>La ppm</td>
<td>10 0.01</td>
<td>5 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mg %</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Na %</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sb ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sc ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sr ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ti %</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ti ppm</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate ****
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>LOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate *****
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory Addresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processed at ALS Vancouver located at 2103 Dollarton Hwy, North Vancouver, BC, Canada.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU-31  CRU-QC  DISP-01  PUL-31  PUL-QC  LOG-22  SPL-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CERTIFICATE COMMENTS**

**APPLIES TO METHOD:**
- CRU-31
- CRU-QC
- ME-ICP61
- PUL-31
- PUL-QC
- WB-21

**PROJECT:** Km 26 D

**ACCOUNT:** KIKAND

**FINALIZED DATE:** 24-APR-2019

**PROJECT:** Km 26 D

**ACCOUNT:** KIKAND

**CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VA19088009**
GEOCHEMICAL PROCEDURE

ME- ICP61

TRACE LEVEL METHODS USING CONVENTIONAL ICP- AES ANALYSIS

SAMPLE DECOMPOSITION

HNO₃, HClO₄, HF-HCl digestion, HCl Leach (GEO-4ACID)

ANALYTICAL METHOD

Inductively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP - AES)

A prepared sample (0.25 g) is digested with perchloric, nitric, hydrofluoric and hydrochloric acids. The residue is topped up with dilute hydrochloric acid and the resulting solution is analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry. Results are corrected for spectral interelement interferences.

NOTE: Four acid digestions are able to dissolve most minerals; however, although the term "near-total" is used, depending on the sample matrix, not all elements are quantitatively extracted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>LOWER LIMIT</th>
<th>UPPER LIMIT</th>
<th>DEFAULT OVER-LIMIT METHOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Ag-0G62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>As</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>Be</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bismuth</td>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Co-0G62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Cu-0G62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallium</td>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanthanum</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### ME-ICP61

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>LOWER LIMIT</th>
<th>UPPER LIMIT</th>
<th>DEFAULT OVER-LIMIT METHOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Mo-OG62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Ni-OG62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Pb-OG62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandium</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium</td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium</td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungstén</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Zn-OG62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ELEMENTS LISTED BELOW ARE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>LOWER LIMIT</th>
<th>UPPER LIMIT</th>
<th>DEFAULT OVER-LIMIT METHOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>Li</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niobium</td>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubidium</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum</td>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium</td>
<td>Te</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yttrium</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium</td>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix C  Rock Chip Sample Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
<th>Elev m</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Alteration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>390843</td>
<td>6076151</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>390790</td>
<td>6076226</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>390748</td>
<td>6076276</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>390697</td>
<td>6076343</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>390652</td>
<td>6076413</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>390577</td>
<td>6076460</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>390462</td>
<td>6076553</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>390384</td>
<td>6076630</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>390242</td>
<td>6076694</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>390187</td>
<td>607682</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>390146</td>
<td>6076905</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>390112</td>
<td>6077094</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>serpentinite, indurated (silicified)</td>
<td>trace carbonate, ankerite, brucite, magnetite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>sample type</th>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Ni ppm</th>
<th>Cr ppm</th>
<th>Co ppm</th>
<th>Fe %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>awaruite, pentlandite, chromite</td>
<td>angular float</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Cu ppm</th>
<th>Pb ppm</th>
<th>Zn ppm</th>
<th>Ag ppm</th>
<th>As ppm</th>
<th>Ca %</th>
<th>P ppm</th>
<th>S %</th>
<th>Mg %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>16.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>14.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lithology Legend

MJS LM Mid-Late Jurassic
- Endako Batholith
- Kuskwa Pluton
- quartz diorite

UTr JTz Late Triassic-Early Jurassic
- Tezzeron Sequence
  - argillaceous siltstone,
  - greywacke, turbidites,
  - conglomerate

PJCS Early Permian-Late Triassic
- Cache Ck Grp, Sowchea Succession,
  - mudstone, siltstone, shale

PJCCC Early Permian-Late Triassic
- Cache Ck Grp, Sowchea Succession,
  - Copley limestone,
  - calcareous sediments

Fig 3 Km 26 Claims General Geology

NTS 093K 15/E, BCGS 093K.087, Omineca Mining Division

SCALE 1:40,000

Red Line = Fault  Blue Line = Thrust Fault
Fig 4 | Km 26 D (A & B) Mineral Claims

NTS 093K 15E, BCGS 093K.087, Omineca Mining Division

Blue/Green=Wetland

SCALE 1 : 20,000

• Rock Chip Samples (2018)

□ Area of Ni-Cr bearing serpentinite float

Thick (>20 meters) glacial till, between serpentinite float zones

Outline of Km 26 D, A, & B Claims

Area of Ni-Cr bearing serpentinite float
Fig 5 | Km 26 D (A & B) Mineral Claims

NTS 093K 15E, BCGS 093K.087, Omineca Mining Division

Outline of Km 26 D, A, & B Claims

Blue/Green=Wetland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID #</th>
<th>Ni ppm</th>
<th>Cr ppm</th>
<th>Co ppm</th>
<th>Fe %</th>
<th>Cu ppm</th>
<th>As ppm</th>
<th>Ca %</th>
<th>P ppm</th>
<th>S %</th>
<th>Mg %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>16.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>14.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCALE 1 : 10,000
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Rock Chip Samples (2018)
### Fig 7 Rock Samples

**MTO tenure 1061106 (detail)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID #</th>
<th>Ni ppm</th>
<th>Cr ppm</th>
<th>Co ppm</th>
<th>Fe %</th>
<th>Cu ppm</th>
<th>As ppm</th>
<th>Ca %</th>
<th>P ppm</th>
<th>S %</th>
<th>Mg %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>16.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>14.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Outline of Km 26 D, A, & B Claims

*Google Earth*
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Fig 8 Aeromagnetics Colour Contours (Regional)

NTS 093K 1SE, BCGS 093K.087, Omineca Mining Division

Outline of Km 26 D, A, & B Claims
Fig 9 Aeromagnetics 1st Derivative Colour Contours

NTS 093K 15E, BCGS 093K.087, Omineca Mining Division

Outline of Km 26 D, A, & B Claims